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Composition space has been used to study the phase stability of organically templated uranium sulfates in the $\text{UO}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2)_{2} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot 1,3\text{-diaminopropane} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ system. The syntheses and structures of two new compounds, $[\text{N}_2\text{C}_3\text{H}_7][\text{UO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{SO}_4)_2]$ (USO-1) and $[\text{N}_2\text{C}_3\text{H}_7][\text{UO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{SO}_4)_3]$ (USO-2), are reported. The relative stability of the crystalline products in this system was found to be dependent upon the reactant mole fraction in the initial reaction gel, based upon the size and location of their respective crystallisation fields in the composition space.

Introduction

The reaction of inorganic species with organic templating agents under hydrothermal conditions is a versatile technique for the preparation of novel solid state materials. The structural diversity and desirable physical properties of these materials are well known. Despite the breadth of this chemistry, relatively few sulfate systems incorporating organic structure-directing agents have been reported. The hydrothermal chemistry of uranium-containing materials mirrors that of the transition metal and main group elements. A wide range of organically templated UIV and UVI materials has been prepared, incorporating fluoride, molybdate, phosphate, and phosphite, but the chemistry of organically templated uranium sulfates is unexplored.

The investigation of this unknown chemistry will be performed in a systematic fashion, using composition space. Composition space and composition prisms directly relate the products to initial reactant mole fractions, by isolating these reaction variables. The concentrations of three reactants are varied systematically and a series of reactions are conducted using slightly different reactant mole fractions. All other variables, such as temperature, solvent concentration and reaction time are held constant. The results are plotted on a diagram to determine the areas of selective crystallisation and elucidate the factors governing product formation in hydrothermal crystallisations.

The syntheses and structures of two new uranium sulfates containing 1,3-diaminopropane (dap), designated USO-1 and USO-2 (uranium sulfate from Oxford), are reported. The $\text{UO}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2)_{2} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ system has been explored and composition space used to relate the product composition to the initial reaction gel.

Experimental

Materials

1,3-Diaminopropane (99%, Aldrich) and sulfuric acid (98%, Aldrich) were used as received. Deionised water was also used in these syntheses. $\text{UO}_2\text{Ac}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O} (\text{Ac} = \text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2^-$) was prepared from $\text{UO}_3$ (99.8%, Strem).

Methods

All reactions were conducted in poly[(fluoro(ethylene/propylene)]-lined 23 mL autoclaves. The autoclaves were heated to 180 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹, where the temperature was held constant for 24 h. Then, the reactions were cooled to room temperature at 6 °C h⁻¹ and the autoclaves opened in air. The solid products were collected by filtration and washed with deionised water and acetone.

Syntheses

$[\text{N}_2\text{C}_3\text{H}_7][\text{UO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{SO}_4)_2]$ (USO-1). USO-1 was synthesised through the reaction of 0.6763 g (1.52 × 10⁻³ mol) of $\text{UO}_2\text{Ac}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 0.0137 g (1.80 × 10⁻³ mol) of dap, 0.3639 g (3.71 × 10⁻³ mol) of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and 1.9980 g (1.11 × 10⁻¹ mol) of deionised water. Yellow rods of USO-1 were isolated in 48% yield after reaction. Elemental microanalysis for USO-1, obs. (calc.): N, 5.06 (5.02); C, 6.62 (6.45); H, 2.52 (2.89); S, 10.72 (11.47); U, 42.37% (42.63%). The X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the bulk phase was consistent with the generated pattern for USO-1.

$[\text{N}_2\text{C}_3\text{H}_7][\text{UO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{SO}_4)_3]$ (USO-2). USO-2 was synthesised through the reaction of 0.6770 g (1.595 × 10⁻³ mol) of $\text{UO}_2\text{Ac}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 0.0270 g (3.55 × 10⁻⁴ mol) of dap, 0.8194 g (8.36 × 10⁻⁵ mol) of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and 1.0061 g (5.59 × 10⁻² mol) of deionised water. Yellow rods of USO-2 were isolated in 22% yield after reaction. Elemental microanalysis for USO-2, obs. (calc.): N, 2.97 (3.05); C, 4.45 (3.91); H, 1.72 (1.53); S, 10.39 (10.43); U, 48.52% (51.61%). The X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the bulk phase was consistent with the generated pattern for USO-2.

X-Ray crystallographic analysis

Single crystals of dimensions 0.25 × 0.25 × 0.25 mm for USO-1 and 0.16 × 0.20 × 0.22 mm for USO-2 were used for the structural determination. Data were collected with an Enraf Nonius FR 590 Kappa CCD diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Crystals were mounted on a glass fibre using N-Paratone oil and cooled in situ to 150 K for data collection using an Oxford Cryostream 600 Series cooling system. Frames were collected, indexed and processed using Denzo SMN and the files scaled together using HKL GUI within Denzo SMN. The heavy atom positions were located from Fourier difference maps and refined with anisotropic thermal parameters using full matrix least-squares.
procedures on \( F_e^2 \) with \( I > 3\sigma(I) \). Hydrogen atoms attached to bound water molecules were located from Fourier difference maps, all others were placed in geometrically idealised positions. All calculations were performed using the programs CRYSTALS\(^\text{14}\) and CAMERON.\(^\text{13}\) Relevant crystallographic data are listed in Table 1 and selected atomic coordinates for USO-1 and USO-2 are given in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

CCDC reference numbers 189594 and 189595.

See http://www.rsc.org/supdata/jm/b2/b2060694y/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

**Infrared spectroscopy**

All infrared measurements were obtained using a Perkin Elmer 1600 FT spectrometer. Samples were diluted with spectroscopic KBr and pressed into a pellet. Scans were run over the range 400–4000 cm\(^{-1}\).

**Thermogravimetric analysis**

TGA measurements were conducted using a Rheometric Scientific STA 1500H thermal analyser. Samples were loaded into a platinum crucible and heated from ambient temperature to 800 °C at 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) under flowing argon.

**Elemental analysis**

The Analytical Services Department of the Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford conducted carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, sulfur and uranium analyses.

**Results**

**USO-1**

Two coordination polyhedra are observed in USO-1. The \( U^{6+} \) cation is seven-coordinate, in the form of a pentagonal

### Table 1 Crystallographic data for USO-1 and USO-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>USO-1</th>
<th>USO-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>[N(_2)C(_3)H(_12)][UO(_2)(H(_2)O)(SO(_4))(_2)]</td>
<td>[N(_2)C(_3)H(_12)][UO(_2)(H(_2)O)(SO(_4))(_3)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_w )</td>
<td>556.32</td>
<td>922.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>( P2_1/n ) (no. 13)</td>
<td>( P2_1/n ) (no. 14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a/\text{Å} )</td>
<td>7.1825(22)</td>
<td>8.9219(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b/\text{Å} )</td>
<td>7.5692(2)</td>
<td>10.3793(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c/\text{Å} )</td>
<td>11.8514(3)</td>
<td>18.0265(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta/\text{°} )</td>
<td>99.4053(19)</td>
<td>106.9424(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V/\text{Å}^3 )</td>
<td>625.4</td>
<td>1922.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_{\text{r}}/\text{g cm}^{-1} )</td>
<td>2.954</td>
<td>3.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu/\text{mm}^{-1} )</td>
<td>13.368</td>
<td>7.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>2404</td>
<td>4301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>1423</td>
<td>4301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R )</td>
<td>0.1185</td>
<td>0.0343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( wR )</td>
<td>0.0434</td>
<td>0.0825</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( R_1 = \sum \left| F_o - F_c \right| / \sum F_c \), \( wR_2 = \left( \sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 \right)^{1/2} / \left( \sum w(F_o^2) \right)^{1/2}. \)

\( \text{USO-1} \) and \( \text{USO-2} \) were obtained using a Perkin Elmer 1600 FT spectrometer. Samples were diluted with spectroscopic KBr and pressed into a pellet. Scans were run over the range 400–4000 cm\(^{-1}\).

**Thermogravimetric analysis**

TGA measurements were conducted using a Rheometric Scientific STA 1500H thermal analyser. Samples were loaded into a platinum crucible and heated from ambient temperature to 800 °C at 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) under flowing argon.

**Elemental analysis**

The Analytical Services Department of the Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford conducted carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, sulfur and uranium analyses.

**Results**

**USO-1**

Two coordination polyhedra are observed in USO-1. The \( U^{6+} \) cation is seven-coordinate, in the form of a pentagonal

### Table 2 Selected atomic coordinates for USO-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>( y )</th>
<th>( z )</th>
<th>( U_{eq} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U(1)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1212(2)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(1)</td>
<td>-0.12979</td>
<td>-0.218311</td>
<td>0.02866(7)</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(1)</td>
<td>-0.24544</td>
<td>0.1267(4)</td>
<td>0.2147(2)</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(2)</td>
<td>-0.00819</td>
<td>-0.1375(4)</td>
<td>0.1277(2)</td>
<td>0.0117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(3)</td>
<td>-0.01724</td>
<td>0.2377(4)</td>
<td>0.4353(2)</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(4)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4482(5)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(5)</td>
<td>0.29174</td>
<td>-0.1027(3)</td>
<td>-0.0076(2)</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(6)</td>
<td>-0.18814</td>
<td>-0.3980(3)</td>
<td>0.0626(3)</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(1)</td>
<td>-0.37184</td>
<td>0.26866(4)</td>
<td>-0.0436(3)</td>
<td>0.0136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(1)</td>
<td>0.41506</td>
<td>0.3774(5)</td>
<td>-0.1510(3)</td>
<td>0.0150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(2)</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.2565(8)</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.0157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( U_{eq} = (U_{11} + U_{22} + U_{33})/3 \).
[SO₄]²⁻ tetrahedra link neighbouring chains, forming layers in the bc plane. The O(4)–O(6) hydrogen bond distance is 2.665(4) Å. The positively charged [dapH2]²⁺ templates reside between the layers, balancing the charge of the anionic chains. The structure is stabilised through extensive hydrogen bonding between the templates and one-dimensional chains (see Fig. 2).

The asymmetric stretch of the uranyl group was observed at 870 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum. S–O and S–O stretches were observed at 1000 and 1250 cm⁻¹. The presence of the organic template was confirmed through the observation of characteristic N–H (3150 and 1650 cm⁻¹) and C–H (2900 cm⁻¹) bands.

A three-stage weight loss was observed in the thermogravimetric analysis of USO-1. The first corresponds to the loss of bound water molecules between 170–200 °C. The second weight loss represents the decomposition of the organic template between 380–450 °C (16.8%). The compound is completely calcined to UO₂ by 500 °C (48.6%), as confirmed using powder X-ray diffraction.

USO-2

Two crystallographically distinct uranium sites are observed in USO-2. U(1) and U(2) are both seven-coordinate, consisting of two uranyl and five equatorial oxide ligands. The average uranyl bond length for U(1) and U(2) is 1.756 Å and the two O–U–O bond angles are 179.5(2) and 177.8(3)° for U(1) and U(2), respectively. The five equatorial coordination sites around U(1) are occupied by oxide ligands that are part of [SO₄]²⁻ tetrahedra. The average U(1)–O eq bond length is 2.364 Å. The five equatorial coordination sites around U(2) are occupied by one bound water molecule and four sulfate oxides. The U(2)–O H₂O bond distance is 2.484(7) Å and the average uranium to sulfate oxide bond distance is 2.377 Å. Bond valence calculations on USO-2, again using uranium parameters taken from Burns et al.,¹⁶ resulted in values of 6.27 and 6.09 for U(1) and U(2), respectively. Three crystallographically distinct sulfur sites are observed. Each [SO₄]²⁻ tetrahedron exhibits one terminal and three bridging oxide ligands.

Two-dimensional [(UO₂)₂(H₂O)(SO₄)₃]²⁻ sheets are formed because each [SO₄]²⁻ tetrahedron links three adjacent [UO₇] pentagonal bipyramids. The bound water on U(2) hydrogen bonds to an adjacent oxide ligand, the O(13)–O(10) distance is 2.764(8) Å (see Fig. 3). These anionic layers lie in the ab plane. [dapH₂]²⁺ templates and occluded water reside between the layers and participate in extensive hydrogen bonding with both the layers and the water molecule bound to U(2) (see Fig. 4).

The characteristic uranyl group band was observed at 900 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum with S–O and S–O stretches at 970 and 1250 cm⁻¹. Characteristic N–H (3250 cm⁻¹) and C–H (2950 cm⁻¹) bands were used to confirm the presence of the template, [dapH₂]²⁺.

A three-stage weight loss was found in the thermogravimetric measurements. The initial weight loss of 3.5% between 230–280 °C is attributed to the loss of the bound water molecules in the lattice. Removal of the organic template between 320–390 °C results in a loss of 9.8%. Decomposition of the inorganic framework and removal of all sulfur-containing species was observed (24.7%) and is complete by 500 °C.

[Fig. 1] One-dimensional [UO₂(H₂O)(SO₄)₂]²⁻ chains in USO-1. Tetrahedra and pentagonal bipyramids represent [SO₄] and [UO₇] moieties, respectively. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

[Fig. 2] Three-dimensional packing of USO-1. Tetrahedra and pentagonal bipyramids represent [SO₄] and [UO₇] moieties, respectively. Hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity.

[Fig. 3] Two-dimensional [(UO₂)₂(H₂O)(SO₄)₃]²⁻ layers in USO-2. Tetrahedra and pentagonal bipyramids represent [SO₄] and [UO₇] moieties, respectively. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

[Fig. 4] Three-dimensional packing of USO-2. Tetrahedra and pentagonal bipyramids represent [SO₄] and [UO₇] moieties, respectively. Selected hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity.
explained by comparing the composition of USO-1 and USO-2. USO-1 has a U : dap : \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) ratio of 1 : 1 : 2, whereas the same ratio for USO-2 is 2 : 1 : 3. At the dap-rich end of Line A, USO-1 dominates the crystallisation because the dap : \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) ratio more closely reflects the concentration ratio in the reaction gel. In regions where the dap concentration is high, the compound that incorporates more dap is more likely to be stable. The \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) concentration increases on moving along Line A toward the \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\) corner of the composition space diagram. The composition of the crystalline product reflects the change in the \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) concentration in solution. First, USO-2 is observed with USO-1, finally USO-2 is the only crystalline product. USO-2 is the stable product at high \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) concentrations just as USO-1 is stable at high dap concentrations.

Line B represents a series of reactions, all carried out at a constant \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\) mole fraction of 72%. No solid product is observed at the dap-rich end of Line B because the \(\text{UO}_2\text{Ac}_2:2\text{H}_2\text{O}\) concentration is extremely low. First only USO-1 is observed, then it is present with USO-2 and, finally, USO-2 is the sole crystalline product at the \(\text{UO}_2\text{Ac}_2:2\text{H}_2\text{O}\)-rich end of Line B. The shift in product composition can be explained by comparing the \(\text{UO}_2\text{Ac}_2:2\text{H}_2\text{O}\)- dap ratio in USO-1 (1:1) and USO-2 (2:1). USO-1 forms preferentially over USO-2 at the dap-rich end of Line B because its composition mirrors the conditions in solution, i.e., a low \(\text{UO}_2\text{Ac}_2:2\text{H}_2\text{O}\)- dap ratio. At the \(\text{UO}_2\text{Ac}_2:2\text{H}_2\text{O}\)-rich end of Line B, only USO-2 forms because it has a higher \(\text{UO}_2\text{Ac}_2:2\text{H}_2\text{O}\)- dap ratio, which complements the composition of the reaction gel.

Conclusion

Two new organically templated uranium-containing compounds have been synthesised using \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\) and 1,3-diaminopropane. The \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) ion is incorporated into the new phases and also acts as a mineraliser. The factors governing the reaction product have been elucidated using composition space. The compositions of the crystalline phases obtained from hydrothermal reactions have been shown to be dependent upon the initial reactant mole fractions.
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