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ABSTRACT

We study the observed correlation between atomic gas content and the likelihood of hosting a large-scale bar in a sample of 2090 disc galaxies. Such a test has never been done before on this scale. We use data on morphologies from the Galaxy Zoo project and information on the galaxies’ H1 content from the Arecibo Legacy Fast Arecibo L-band Feed Array (ALFALFA) blind H1 survey. Our main result is that the bar fraction is significantly lower among gas-rich disc galaxies than gas-poor ones. This is not explained by known trends for more massive (stellar) and redder disc galaxies to host more bars and have lower gas fractions: we still see at fixed stellar mass a residual correlation between gas content and bar fraction. We discuss three possible causal explanations: (1) bars in disc galaxies cause atomic gas to be used up more quickly, (2) increasing the atomic gas content in a disc galaxy inhibits bar formation and (3) bar fraction and gas content are both driven by correlation with environmental effects (e.g. tidal triggering of bars, combined with strangulation removing gas). All three explanations are consistent with the observed correlations. In addition our observations suggest bars may reduce or halt star formation in the outer parts of discs by holding back the infall of external gas beyond bar co-rotation, reddening the global colours of barred disc galaxies. This suggests that secular evolution driven by the exchange of angular momentum between stars in the bar, and gas in the disc, acts as a feedback mechanism to regulate star formation in intermediate-mass disc galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

There is a growing body of evidence which suggests that secular evolution plays a vital role in the evolution of the galaxy popula-
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Bournaud et al. 2011). As the alternative mechanism, secular evolution such as minor mergers and/or gradual gas inflow must then be more important.

The strongest drivers of internal secular evolution in disc galaxies are the ‘disc instabilities’ known as stellar bars (for a recent comprehensive review of both theoretical and observation status of bar studies see section 9 of Sellwood 2010; also see Sellwood & Wilkinson 1993). A bar, particularly a ‘strong’ bar, breaks the radial symmetry of the disc, allowing for the transfer of angular momentum between components (stars, dark matter and gas) and potentially driving material both inwards and outwards in the disc. As such, bars have long been invoked as a way to fuel central star formation by driving gas towards the inner regions of galaxies where it is available to fuel active galactic nuclei (AGN; probably via inner secondary bars or spiral arms; e.g. Ann & Thakur 2005), and to grow central (pseudo-)bulges (e.g. Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004; Heller, Shlosman & Athanassoula 2007). Observational evidence for an increase in central star formation in barred galaxies seems clear (e.g. Ho, Filippenko & Sargent 1997; Sheth et al. 2005; Coelho & Gadotti 2011; Ellison et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2012; Oh, Oh & Yi 2012), although the link between galactic scale bars and AGN is more controversial (e.g. Ho et al. 1997; Oh et al. 2012; Cardamone et al., in preparation).

Theoretical considerations suggest that the gaseous component should play a major role in the dynamics of, and the exchange of angular momentum (AM) in disc galaxies (Athanassoula 2003; Combes 2008). When a galaxy is rich in gas any AM exchange will be preferentially between the (dissipative and therefore cold) gas and the stars, rather than the kinematically hot dark matter, because the amount of AM exchange which occurs depends on the velocity dispersion of the material (as well as the bar strength, and the density of the material; Athanassoula 2003). The effective forces produced by the bar instability act to drive gas inwards from co-rotation (the point at which stars in the disc rotate with the same speed as the pattern speed of the bar) to the central regions. This gas loses its angular momentum which is transferred to the stars in the bar. Interestingly, the forces outside co-rotation may also act to inhibit inflow of gas from the outer regions of the disc, so that gas inflow of external gas on to a disc galaxy is inhibited in the presence of a strong bar (Combes 2008).

One possible conclusion of these theoretical considerations is that strong bars may not be long lived in the presence of significant quantities of gas in a disc galaxy. Numerical simulations generally support this picture of fragile bars and/or bars being unable to grow in the presence of significant amounts of disc gas (e.g. Friedli & Benz 1993; Berentzen et al. 2007; Heller et al. 2007; Villa-Vargas, Shlosman & Heller 2010), although the time-scales and gas fractions required are still debated. Some studies suggest that it is the growth of the central mass concentration due to the inflow of gas which is causing bars to dissolve (Debattista et al. 2006; Berentzen et al. 2007; Villa-Vargas et al. 2010), but central mass concentrations must be very large to weaken a bar (e.g. Shen & Sellwood 2004; Athanassoula, Lambert & Dehnen 2005); it has also been suggested the gas inflow alone (along with the corresponding increase of AM in the stars in the bar) causes a bar to self-destruct (Athanassoula 2003; Combes 2008).

In this paper, we look for correlations between the (atomic hydrogen) gas content and the likelihood of disc galaxies containing a bar using a sample of 2090 local disc galaxies with both bar classifications and measurements of gas content. Such a test has never been done before on this scale – similar studies have focused on the details of gas inflow on single galaxies, or small samples of galaxies (e.g. Davoust & Contini 2004; Giordano et al. 2010). Davoust & Contini (2004) made H I observations of a sample of 144 barred and 110 unbarred Seyfert and star-bursting galaxies finding that the barred galaxies in their sample had lower H I mass fractions than the unbarred galaxies. We improve on this sample size by over an order of magnitude, and extend it to include all types of disc galaxies. This allows us to study not just the properties of all barred galaxies together but consider trends with other galaxy properties like stellar mass and colour, which also give clues to the longer term impact of bars on the evolution of disc galaxies.

To construct the sample we use morphological classifications of bars made by citizen scientists as part of the Galaxy Zoo project (Lintott et al. 2008, 2011),1 which have previously been used to study the dependence of bar fraction on galaxy properties (Masters et al. 2011) and environment (Skibba et al. 2012), and were also used as the basis of a sample in which bar lengths were measured by citizen scientists and correlations between bar length and other galaxy properties were measured (Hoyle et al. 2011). We combine this morphological data with information on the neutral hydrogen (H I) content of a complete sample of galaxies in the high Galactic latitude Arecibo sky observed as part of the Arecibo Legacy Fast Arecibo L-band Feed Array (ALFALFA) survey (Giovanelli et al. 2005). Specifically we use the 40 per cent of ALFALFA which was recently released as α40 by Haynes et al. (2011, hereafter α40).

Where required, we assume a standard cosmological model with Ωm = 0.3, Ωλ = 0.7 and H0 = 70 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹.

2 SAMPLE AND DATA
The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) has imaged over one quarter of the sky using a dedicated 2.5-m telescope (Gunn et al. 2006) and mosaic CCD camera (Gunn et al. 1998). Its main galaxy sample (MGS) is a highly complete r-band selected sample of galaxies in its Legacy imaging area which were targeted for spectroscopic follow-up (Strauss et al. 2002).

We use a volume-limited subsample of the MGS galaxies which were included in the second phase of the Galaxy Zoo project or Galaxy Zoo 2 (GZ2). We limit the sample to z < 0.05 in order (1) to have sufficient angular resolution to detect large-scale bars over the whole sample, and (2) to remove the frequency range where the San Juan airport radar limits ALFALFA’s sensitivity to redshifted H I. In addition we use a lower limit of z > 0.01 to reduce the impact of peculiar velocities on distance errors. The GZ2 sample containing approximately the brightest quarter of the MGS was selected on m_r < 17.0, so the volume limit to z = 0.05 is M_r = −19.73 (or M_r = −5 log h = −18.96).

We need to identify bars from GZ2 classifications, so limit the sample to those galaxies where at least a quarter of classifiers saw a disc which was not completely edge-on (and therefore answered a question about the presence of a bar). We apply a cut on the axial ratio to remove inclined discs for which bar identifications will be unreliably determined. This cut is log(ab) > 0.3 [where log(ab) is from the exponential ab measured in the SDSS r band]. This effectively limits objects to moderately inclined or face-on disc galaxies with i < 60°. This cut will make galaxies in our sample easier than average to detect in ALFALFA by reducing the observed H I width (and correspondingly increasing the peak flux of the line). The total Galaxy Zoo bar sample size is 12,956 galaxies.

1 www.galaxyzoo.org
We use a cross-match with the ALFALFA 40 per cent data ($\alpha$40) which provides H i data for 15 044 galaxies to $cz = 18 000$ km s$^{-1}$ ($z = 0.06$) in four patches of the Arecibo sky. Limiting this to a redshift of $z = 0.05$ to remove the range of redshifts where radio frequency interference (RFI) from the San Juan airport radar is at the frequency of redshifted H i removes 1562 H i sources. Only the central portion of the $\alpha$40 area (i.e. RA = 7.5$^\circ$–16.5$^\circ$ in the north Galactic cap) overlaps with the SDSS Legacy area. Limiting both H i and optical samples to this region of the sky results in a cross-match between 9633 H i sources in $\alpha$40 and 4089 Galaxy Zoo identified fairly face-on disc galaxies ($\alpha$40 covers about 25 per cent of the SDSS Data Release 7, DR7, Legacy area). We use the cross-match between $\alpha$40 and the SDSS MGS presented in $\alpha$40 to find 2090 galaxies in common between these two samples – a H i detection rate in our volume-limited GZ2 bar sample of 51 per cent.

A limitation of our study is that ALFALFA is optimized for low redshift, low H i mass galaxies, while we study optically bright disc galaxies with morphologies from GZ2. In particular, at the higher redshift end of the volume limit ($z = 0.05$), only the most massive galaxies in H i will be detectable.\footnote{Fig. 3 of $\alpha$40 shows the limiting H i mass as a function of distance. For reference it is $\log(M_{\text{H}i}/M_\odot) = 9.6$ at $z = 0.05$, and $\log(M_{\text{H}i}/M_\odot) = 8.0$ at $z = 0.01$.} Fig. 1 shows the distribution of detections (solid lines) and non-detections (dashed lines) in our sample as a function of stellar mass, redshift, H i mass and gas fraction (H i mass per stellar mass). This illustrates that at higher redshift end of the sample, more galaxies are undetected in H i. This figure also illustrates that galaxies with higher stellar masses are more likely to be H i poor (high fraction of non-detections among more massive $M_\star$ galaxies). Our sample is volume limited in $r$ band, and is approximately complete for galaxies at all stellar masses between $9.0 < \log(M_\star/M_\odot) < 11.5$ at all redshifts, but the H i mass fraction completeness decreases with redshift: at $z = 0.05$ we only detect massive galaxies ($\log(M_{\text{H}i}/M_\odot) > 10.2$) with gas fractions $f_{\text{H}i} < 1.0$, and no galaxies with $f_{\text{H}i} < 0.1$ (see Fig. 2).

2.1 Photometric data from SDSS

All photometric data are taken from the SDSS DR7 (Abazajian et al. 2009). The reader is referred to York et al. (2000) and Stoughton et al. (2002) for details on the hardware, software and data reduction in SDSS. Photometry was taken in five bands: $ugriz$ (Fukugita et al. 1996). For total magnitudes, we use the Petrosian magnitudes (Petrosian 1976; Strauss et al. 2002), while colours are calculated from the model magnitudes (with the aperture set in the $r$ band). In addition, we use axial ratio information (from the $r$-band exponential model fit), and spectroscopic redshifts. All photometric quantities are corrected using the standard Galactic extinction corrections (Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis 1998), and a small $k$-correction ($z = 0$) is applied (Blanton et al. 2003; Blanton & Roweis 2007).

Stellar masses are estimated using the colour-dependent stellar mass-to-light ratio based on SDSS $r$-band magnitudes and $(g - i)$ colours presented in Zibetti, Charlot & Rix (2009). Specifically this means we use log $M_\star/L_r = -0.963 + 1.032(g - i)$ from Zibetti et al. (2009) together with a solar magnitude of $M_\odot = 4.58$ (Blanton et al. 2001). For our sample of nearly face-on normal disc galaxies this technique should result in a reasonable estimate of the stellar mass, with typical uncertainty of 0.2–0.3 dex, dominated by the uncertainty in the estimate of the stellar mass-to-light ratio (Zibetti et al. 2009).

We show in Fig. 3 an optical $(g - r)$ colour–magnitude diagram of all galaxies in the GZ2 bar sample which are in the part of the sky observed by ALFALFA (grey-scale contours). Superimposed are the colours and magnitudes of the H i detected galaxies in the sample, with the line contours indicating a 40, 60 or 80 per cent detection rate. We show the line used by Masters et al. (2010b) to define the blue edge of the ‘red sequence’ (to identify ‘red spirals’). As expected, H i detection rates increase towards the bluer and lower luminosity part of the diagram, however, we note with interest that a significant number of GZ2 identified disc galaxies which are in the ‘red sequence’ are still detected in H i. We remind the reader that our sample excludes very inclined disc galaxies which could be reddened by dust (e.g. Masters et al. 2010a), so these are intrinsically ‘red spirals’. This has previously been observed in $\alpha$40 considering...
2.2 Morphologies from Galaxy Zoo 2

Morphological classifications from GZ2 are based on information provided by multiple independent citizen scientists. The median number of citizen scientists classifying each galaxy in GZ2 is 45. Before reaching the question about bars, each volunteer must answer two questions. These are ‘Does the galaxy have features or a disc?’, and ‘Is the galaxy totally edge-on?’ (see fig. 1 of Masters et al. 2011). We include in our sample only those galaxies for which the weighted presence $p_{\text{features, edge-on}} > 0.25$ thus requiring that the number of answers to the bar question is at least 25 per cent of the total number of people classifying the galaxy. In addition, in order to reduce the impact of erroneous classifications we require that at least 10 people answered the question ‘Is a bar visible in the galaxy?’, the median number is $N_{\text{bar}} = 30$. We call the weighted fraction of these classifiers answering that they see a bar, to the total number of classifiers answering the question, the bar ‘probability’, or $p_{\text{bar}} = N_{\text{bar, yes}} / N_{\text{bar}}$.

In Appendix A we compare the GZ2 bar classifications to other independent classifications of bars (both from visual inspection and ellipse fitting). This comparison confirms that visual inspection by multiple citizen scientists can reliably identify bars in galaxies. It demonstrates that GZ2 bar identified by $p_{\text{bar}} > 0.5$ are similar to the classic strong bar classification. In addition our comparison suggests that galaxies with $0.2 < p_{\text{bar}} < 0.5$ can be identified as weakly barred galaxies, while truly unbarred galaxies will have $p_{\text{bar}} < 0.2$.

In the rest of the paper we sometimes refer to GZ2 strong bars simply as ‘bars’, and galaxies with $p_{\text{bar}} < 0.5$ which may host a weak bar as ‘unbarred’. Detailed comparisons between our work and other samples should recall the precise definition given here.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Bar fraction with gas fraction

In Fig. 4 we observe a clear anticorrelation between the strong bar fraction and H I mass of disc galaxies in our sample.

Figure 3. A colour–magnitude diagram of all moderately face-on disc galaxies in the GZ2 sample which are in the part of the sky observed by $\alpha$40 (grey-scale contours showing the classic blue cloud, red sequence bimodality). Superimposed are the colours and magnitudes of the H I detected galaxies. The line contours indicate 40, 60 and 80 per cent detection rates showing that blue sequence spirals are preferentially detected. We also show the line used by Masters et al. (2010b) to define the blue edge of the ‘red sequence’, namely $(g - r) = 0.67 - 0.02(M_r + 22)$, based on a fit to Galaxy Zoo identified early types, which demonstrates that while H I detection in red sequence spirals is rare, it does occur.

the full cross-match with SDSS (e.g. $\alpha$40), and also seen by Torbío et al. (2011a,b).

3.3 H I data from ALFALFA

The ALFALFA survey was initiated in 2005 following the commissioning of a 7 pixel feed array working at 21 cm (or $L$ band) on the Arecibo telescope (Arecibo L-band Feed Array or ALFA). Full details of the plans for ALFALFA can be found in Giovanelli et al. (2005). Its goal is to survey 7000 deg$^2$ of high Galactic latitude sky observable with the Arecibo telescope. ALFALFA is sensitive to H I lines in the redshift range of $v = -1600$–$18 000$ km s$^{-1}$ ($z < 0.06$). As discussed in $\alpha$40 the detectability of a H I source by ALFALFA depends both on the integrated H I line flux, and the width of the H I profile. The limiting sensitivity for the catalogued H I sources$^4$ is measured from the data by $\alpha$40 as

$$\log S_{\text{lim}} = 0.5 \log W_{50} - 1.23, \quad \log W_{50} < 2.5,$$

$$\log W_{50} = 2.49, \quad \log W_{50} \geq 2.5$$

(1)

(1)

(2)

The typical 1σ error on this (including distance errors) is estimated to be 0.15–0.25 dex for galaxies in the mass range of our sample (fig. 19 of $\alpha$40).

We use the usual definition of the H I gas fraction relative to the stellar mass of the galaxy $f_{\text{HI}} = M_{\text{HI}} / M_*$. Adding the errors on $M_{\text{HI}}$ and $M_*$ to obtain a typical uncertainty on $f_{\text{HI}}$, of 0.25–0.4 dex gives an upper limit to the error of this distance independent quantity, as both $M_{\text{HI}}$ and $M_*$ error estimates contain the error on the assumed distance. In what follows we sometimes refer to $f_{\text{HI}}$ simply as the gas fraction, even though it is the atomic hydrogen gas fraction. We comment on the impact on our results of possible hidden molecular H$_2$ at the end of Section 4.

For a galaxy with stellar mass $M_*$ the minimum gas fraction which can be observed is

$$f_{\text{HI, lim}} = 2.356 \times 10^3 D^2_{\text{Mpc}} S_{\text{lim}} / M_*$$

(3)

We use this to estimate the limiting H I gas fraction as a function of stellar mass at the redshift limits of our sample. This estimate includes an assumption about the typical width of observed H I emission at a given stellar mass.$^5$ At the lower redshift limit, this gives a detectable H I fraction which ranges from $f_{\text{HI}} = 0.002–0.3$ for $M_*/M_\odot \geq 11.5$ and 9.0, respectively, while at the upper redshift limit the minimum detectable H I fraction is $f_{\text{HI}} = 0.06–7.0$.

3 RESULTS
This suggest that either (1) H\textsc{i}-rich galaxies are less likely to host strong bars, or (2) strongly barred galaxies have lower atomic gas fractions than unbarred/weakly barred galaxies. The median gas fraction among barred galaxies in our sample is $f_{\text{H\textsc{i}}} = 0.39$ (with an interquartile range, or IQR, of 0.19–1.1, or expressed in log space, $\log f_{\text{H\textsc{i}}} = -0.40 \pm 0.47$), compared to a median value of $f_{\text{H\textsc{i}}} = 0.74$ (IQR 0.35–1.5, or $\log f_{\text{H\textsc{i}}} = -0.13 \pm 0.32$) in unbarred galaxies.

While H\textsc{i} poor galaxies are preferentially detected in the near part of our sample (Section 2.3), the observed trend cannot be explained by resolution effects. The SDSS images used to identify bars have a median physical resolution of 1.3 kpc at $z = 0.05$ which is sufficient to detect all galactic scale bars across the whole redshift range.

We also confirm with this sample (middle and right-hand panel of Fig. 4) the previously observed trends of higher bar fraction in disc galaxies with higher stellar masses and redder optical colours (e.g. Nair & Abraham 2010b; Masters et al. 2011; Skibba et al. 2012). We note that as a set of galaxies selected to have been detected in H\textsc{i} this sample is biased towards lower mass, ‘blue cloud’ late-type (small bulge) spirals than previous Galaxy Zoo studies of the bar fraction (Masters et al. 2011; Skibba et al. 2012), which also use a more luminous volume limit to $z = 0.06$.

While the trends for more strong bars to be found in massive, optically red and gas-poor disc galaxies is the most obvious feature of the plots in Fig. 4, it can also be seen that a small peak in strong bar fraction is seen in lower mass ($\log (M_*/M_\odot) < 10.0$), bluer and more gas-rich galaxies. That the trends of bar fraction are not monotonic across the Hubble sequence and seems to have a minimum at around $\log (M_*/M_\odot) = 10.0$ has been noted previously (e.g. in the Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies, RC3; Odewahn 1996, and more recently, Nair & Abraham 2010b; Masters et al. 2011), and most likely indicates a difference in evolution for bars in different mass galaxies.

Example images of high and low stellar and H\textsc{i} mass galaxies with and without bars are shown in Fig. 5.\textsuperscript{6}

\textsuperscript{6}More example images can be see at http://www.icg.port.ac.uk/~mastersk/GZ_ALFALFAImages.

### 3.2 Breaking degeneracies with gas content, stellar mass and colour

It is well known (e.g. most recently seen in ALFALFA data by Catinella et al. 2010; Toribio et al. 2011a,b; Fabello et al. 2011; Huang et al. 2012) that the atomic gas content of galaxies correlates with both stellar mass and optical colour, which are of course also correlated via the colour–magnitude relation. We illustrate these correlations in Fig. 6 showing the locations of H\textsc{i} detected galaxies in our sample as a function of stellar mass, gas fraction and $(g - r)$ colour. The best fit to the trends is shown as solid lines.

Given these correlations and the fact that the strong bar fraction increases towards higher stellar mass, redder disc galaxies (Nair & Abraham 2010b; Masters et al. 2011; Skibba et al. 2012) we must ask if all, or part, of the correlation between gas fraction and bar fraction can be explained by the combination of the correlations between gas fraction and stellar mass and those between stellar mass/colour and bar fraction.

The bar fraction is indicated in Fig. 6 by the grey-scale contours which show strong bar fractions of between 10 and 40 per cent. From this we observed that the bar fraction peaks most strongly among the higher stellar mass disc galaxies which are both redder and less gas rich than is typical for their stellar mass. This already demonstrates that the correlations between gas fraction and stellar mass/colour cannot explain the full increase of bar fraction with decreasing gas fraction.

#### 3.2.1 Bar fraction with gas deficiency

In this section we will use the relationship between stellar mass and gas fraction observed in Fig. 6 to calculate the expected gas fraction for a galaxy of a given stellar mass. We find a trend of

\[
\log (M_{\text{H\textsc{i}}}/M_\odot) = -0.31 - 0.86 \log (M_*/M_\odot) - 10.2, \tag{4}
\]

with a typical scatter of $\sigma_{\log (M_{\text{H\textsc{i}}}/M_\odot)} = 0.27$ dex. Clearly the selection function plays a role in shaping the trends, and will reduce the observed scatter by preferentially removing gas-poor galaxies at a given stellar mass. However, we point out that the deeper H\textsc{i} observations of the GALEX–Arecibo SDSS Survey (GASS) which...
targeted galaxies with $M_*>10^{10} M_\odot$ (Catrinella et al. 2010) demonstrate that there are few galaxies at $10.0<\log (M_*/M_\odot)<10.5$ with gas fractions below 10 per cent, and the observed trends are similar to those we see here. Toribio et al. (2011b) have also previously studied the typical HI content of isolated disc galaxies in α40 and also find similar correlations to us. Finally, Fabello et al. (2011) use a stacking technique to place limits on the HI content of undetected early-type galaxies (selected using optical concentration) and find similar trends of the HI gas fraction with stellar mass.

Knowing the expected gas fraction for a given stellar mass is important, as we can use it to define a measure of HI deficiency, e.g. as was used in Haynes & Giovanelli (1984), Solanes et al. (2001), Toribio et al. (2011a,b), Cortese et al. (2011) and study trends of the bar fraction with this quantity. We define our version of HI deficiency as

$$H_{\text{def}} = (\log (M_{\text{HI}}/M_*) - \log (M_{\text{HI}}/M_*)).$$

The trend of bar fraction with HI deficiency for GZ2 disc galaxies detected by α40 is shown in Fig. 7. This figure demonstrates that galaxies which have more HI gas than is usual for their stellar mass are less likely to be observed with a strong bar than average: down to a bar fraction of 13 ± 4 per cent in the most gas rich. Those galaxies which have less HI gas than is usual for their stellar mass are more likely to be observed with a strong bar: up to a bar fraction of 36 ± 11 per cent in the most gas deficient (combining the last two bins in Fig. 7).

Equivalently, barred galaxies in our sample are found to be HI deficient; with a median $H_{\text{def}} = 0.05 \pm 0.01$ dex; and unbarred galaxies are HI rich for their mass with a median $H_{\text{def}} = -0.04 \pm 0.01$ dex. We estimate the significance of these differences using our measured 1σ range of HI deficiency of $H_{\text{def}} = 0.27$ dex/$\sqrt{N}$.

3.2.2 Splitting the sample by stellar mass and colour

Another way to address possible degeneracies between gas fraction, stellar mass, galaxy colour and the probability of hosting a bar is to split the sample by these properties and look for residual trends. Fig. 8 shows the trend of (strong) bar fraction with gas fraction split now into (a) high and low stellar mass disc galaxies or (b) red and blue disc galaxies. We use $\log (M_*/M_\odot)=10.2$ as the dividing line between the high- and low-mass subsamples, as suggested by many studies who give a similar mass division to where the properties of galaxies seem to change (e.g. Strateva et al. 2001; Kauffmann et al. 2003; Baldry et al. 2004). To split the sample into red and blue disc galaxies we use $(g-r)=0.6$ as the divider between the red sequence and blue cloud. A summary of bar fractions in different subsets of the data is given in Table 1.

In the sample split by stellar mass, we observe the increase of (strong) bar fraction with stellar mass between the two subsets, from $16 \pm 1$ per cent for the low-mass subsample to $31 \pm 2$ per cent for the high mass. However at a fixed gas fraction there is no statistical difference between the high and low stellar mass subsets, except at around $f_{\text{bar}}=0.3$ where high-mass galaxies have a bar fraction of $30 \pm 3$ per cent, while low mass have $12 \pm 3$ per cent.

Within the high-mass subset there remains a strong correlation of bar fraction with gas fraction; once galaxies have at least a 10 per cent HI gas fraction the probability that they will host a strong bar is observed to drop to equal, or even below that seen in low-mass disc galaxies (down to $7 \pm 7$ per cent for the most gas-rich of the high-mass subset, i.e. 15 galaxies with $M_{\text{HI}} \sim 1.3 M_*$, only one of which is barred).

Interestingly, among the lower mass galaxies there remains only a mild residual trend between bar fraction and gas fraction, only significant in the lowest gas fraction bin in the subset, which has a
Figure 6. The relationship between (a) stellar mass and optical colour and (b) stellar mass and H\textsc{i} mass fraction for the 2090 galaxies in our sample. Points in both panels show the location of galaxies as a function of gas fraction, colour and stellar mass. Fits to the observed trends are shown (diagonal solid lines). The contours highlight the strong bar fraction on the plot (i.e. the fraction of galaxies with $p_{\text{bar}} > 0.5$ from GZ2, see Section 2.2), with grey-scale indicating 10, 20, 30 and 40 per cent strong bars. This plot demonstrates that more massive disc galaxies are redder and have lower gas fractions (points), and also that the bar fraction is highest among massive disc galaxies which are redder and have lower gas fractions than is typical for their stellar mass (contours). We indicate on the plot cuts used later to make subsets of the sample. The vertical line shows a mass cut of $\log (M_{*}/M_{\odot}) = 10.2$, the typical transition mass between disc- and bulge-dominated populations, while horizontal lines indicate (a) a colour of $(g - r) = 0.6$ which roughly splits blue and red disc galaxies; (b) $\log (M_{*}/M_{\odot}) = -0.30$ (or $M_{*} = 2M_{\odot}$) which we will use to split ‘gas-rich’ and ‘gas-poor’ galaxies in Section 3.3. The dotted lines in panel (b) show an estimate of the limiting gas fraction which would make galaxies at the lower and upper redshift range of our sample detectable by ALFALFA (as discussed in Section 2.3). Finally, the circled points indicate galaxies whose images are shown as examples of bar classifications in Fig. 5.

Figure 7. The strong bar fraction as a function of gas deficiency for 2090 Galaxy Zoo galaxies detected in H\textsc{i} by $\alpha$40. Gas deficiency is calculated relative to the typical gas fraction for galaxies with the same stellar mass ($H_{\text{def,}\star}$ in equation 5), so a gas deficiency of zero (vertical line) is the typical gas fraction for a given stellar mass (see Fig. 6). This figure demonstrates that galaxies at a given stellar mass are more likely to be found hosting a bar if they are gas deficient. The errors on the points show Poisson counting errors. The paler lines show the same result for H\textsc{i} deficiencies calculated as the trend in Fig. 6 now $\pm 0.27$ dex (the observed scatter in H\textsc{i} deficiency). As expected, this moves the trend of bar fraction with gas deficiency to the right or left by approximately 0.27 dex. The $y$-range of this plot is identical to Fig. 4 for ease of comparison.

Figure 8. The strong bar fraction as a function of gas fraction for 2090 GZ2 galaxies detected in H\textsc{i} by $\alpha$40 split into two subgroups by either (a) stellar mass or (b) optical colour. As in Fig. 4, the horizontal lines show the overall bar fraction for each subsample. We see that at a fixed gas fraction a redder disc galaxy is more likely to be found hosting a bar (or barred discs are redder), however, at a fixed gas fraction the stellar mass of the disc galaxy correlates less well with whether or not it hosts a bar.

In summary, even for red, massive discs we see a residual correlation between (strong) bar fraction and atomic gas content. Once red, massive disc galaxies have $f_{\text{gas}} > 0.1$ they are increasingly unlikely to host a bar. However among blue, low-mass galaxies (which in our sample are dominated by relatively gas-rich galaxies with $f_{\text{gas}} > 0.1$) strong bars are unlikely at all (observed) gas fractions.

bar fraction of $29 \pm 8$ per cent (at $f_{\text{HI}} \sim 0.1$). However, we note that almost all low-mass galaxies which could be detected by $\alpha$40 have $f_{\text{gas}} \geq 0.1$, so this sample does not constrain the behaviour of low-mass disc galaxies containing little gas. We notice (Fig. 6) that for $\log (M_{*}/M_{\odot}) < 10.2$, the sample contains only five galaxies with $f_{\text{gas}} < 0.1$, two of which host strong bars (see two of them in lower left of Fig. 5). This is consistent with an increase in bar fraction for such galaxies (i.e. to 40 per cent, but with Poisson error of $\pm 28$ per cent); however, this clearly would need to be tested using a larger sample of low stellar mass H\textsc{i} poor galaxies.

The split between the bar fraction in the red and blue disc galaxy subsamples (left-hand panel of Fig. 8) is slightly larger: the bar fraction is $33 \pm 2$ per cent for red discs versus $16 \pm 1$ per cent for blue discs. Red disc galaxies are observed to be more likely to host strong bars than blue disc galaxies at all gas fractions. A residual trend with gas fraction is still observed within red disc galaxies. Once a red disc galaxy has at least 10 per cent H\textsc{i} (relative to its stellar mass), the probability it will host a strong bar is observed to fall off, down to $19 \pm 4$ per cent in the most gas-rich red disc galaxies with $f_{\text{HI}} \sim 1$ and which have bar fractions consistent with the blue disc galaxy subsample.

However in the blue disc galaxy subsample we observe no significant residual trend of bar fraction with gas content, but note that the majority of the blue discs in our sample have $f_{\text{gas}} > 0.1$. In summary, even for red, massive discs we see a residual correlation between (strong) bar fraction and atomic gas content. Once red, massive disc galaxies have $f_{\text{gas}} > 0.1$ they are increasingly unlikely to host a bar. However among blue, low-mass galaxies (which in our sample are dominated by relatively gas-rich galaxies with $f_{\text{gas}} > 0.1$) strong bars are unlikely at all (observed) gas fractions.
3.2.3 Splitting the sample by atomic gas content

In Fig. 9 we show the correlations of strong bar fraction with stellar mass in subgroups split by the gas fraction (at log (f_{HI}) = -0.30, or M* = 2M_{H I}), as suggested by the typical gas fraction of a green valley and transition mass galaxy (i.e. (g - r) ~ 0.6 and log (M_{HI}/M_{⊙}) = 10.2; see Fig. 6), or by H i gas deficiency as defined above.

Averaged over the full stellar mass range of our sample, the (strong) bar fraction in the gas poor subsample is 31 ± 2 per cent significantly higher than the (strong) bar fraction for the gas-rich subsample (16 ± 1 per cent), while the gas-deficient subsample has a bar fraction of 27 ± 2 per cent, compared to 18 ± 1 per cent in those galaxies with more gas than is typical for their stellar mass.

In the left-hand panel we observe that the residual correlation of bar fraction with stellar mass is rather flat across the whole range of stellar masses probed by the gas-rich disc galaxies, and for log (M_{HI}/M_{⊙}) > 10.2. In the gas-poor subsample, the lower mass galaxies (log (M_{HI}/M_{⊙}) < 10.2) seem to have the same low bar fraction independent of gas content, while the bar fraction in more massive discs depends strongly on gas content.

The right-hand panel of Fig. 9 displays the residual correlation of bar fraction with gas content calibrated to the typical gas content at a given stellar mass (i.e. gas deficiency as defined in equation 5, and see the lower panel of Fig. 6). In both gas-deficient and gas-rich galaxies there remains a residual correlation of bar fraction to increase with stellar mass. The two trends are statistically indistinguishable for log (M_{HI}/M_{⊙}) < 10.0 or log (M_{HI}/M_{⊙}) > 10.7; the main correlation between H i gas deficiency and bar fraction happens in the intermediate-mass ranges which represent the transition region between blue cloud and red sequence discs (10 < log (M_{HI}/M_{⊙}) < 10.7). This is also apparent in the lower panel of Fig. 6 as a ‘banana shape’ of the contours highlighting the bar fraction as a function of both gas mass and stellar mass. Here we split the sample at H_{def, ⋆} = 0.0. We also try using H_{def, ⋆} = 0.3 as the dividing line (as suggested by the 1σ scatter in the trend observed in Fig. 6) and find no qualitative difference in the results.

In summary splitting the sample by gas content, either as an absolute H i fraction, or using the H i deficiency parameter defined in Section 3.2.1, demonstrates that the main difference in bar fraction with gas content happens in the intermediate-mass disc galaxies which populate the transition region (sometimes called the ‘green valley’) of the colour–magnitude diagram. If a galaxy in that region is gas rich it is much less likely to host a bar (or if barred it is less likely to be gas rich). Lower mass galaxies tend to have low bar fraction regardless of gas content, and higher mass galaxies tend to have high bar fractions regardless of gas content.

4 DISCUSSION

The main result of this paper is that the strongly barred disc galaxies from GZ2 are observed to be more likely to be H i gas poor than unbarred or weakly barred disc galaxies (Fig. 4), or equivalently H i poor galaxies are more likely to be observed hosting a bar than H i-rich galaxies. This correlation cannot be explained by redder, more massive disc galaxies being simultaneously more likely to host a strong bar and more likely to be H i poor. We demonstrate that at a fixed stellar mass there remains significant residual correlations of bar fraction with gas content, particularly over the stellar mass range 10 < log (M_{HI}/M_{⊙}) < 10.7 which corresponds to the typical mass of a disc galaxy in the ‘green valley’.

In this section we consider the causal links between atomic gas content and bar fraction in disc galaxies that may create the observed correlations. We consider three possible explanations: (i) bars in disc galaxies cause atomic gas to be used up more quickly; (ii) increasing the atomic gas content in a disc galaxy either causes bars to form more slowly, or to self-destruct more quickly; (iii) bar fraction and gas content are both driven by correlation with environmental effects.

(i) Bars in disc galaxies cause atomic gas to be used up more quickly

There is clear physical explanations, and observational evidence, that bars in gas-rich disc galaxies funnel gas into the central regions of the galaxy where it is turned into molecular gas and eventually forms stars (e.g. Ho et al. 1997; Sheth et al. 2005; Ellison et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2012). This mechanism will accelerate the globally averaged atomic gas consumption, by concentrating the gas, and

Table 1. Summary of (strong) bar fractions for subsets of the data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Strong bar fraction (per cent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H I non-detections</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>32 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All H I detections</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>22 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High mass (log (M_{HI}/M_{⊙}) &gt; 10.2)</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>31 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optically red ((g - r) &gt; 0.6)</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas poor (f_{HI} &lt; 2.0)</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>31 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas deficient (H_{def, ⋆} &gt; 0.0)</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>27 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low mass (log (M_{HI}/M_{⊙}) &lt; 10.2)</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optically blue ((g - r) &lt; 0.6)</td>
<td>1333</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas rich (f_{HI} &gt; 2.0)</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas rich for mass (H_{def, ⋆} &lt; 0.0)</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>18 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in addition, by removing gas from the outer regions of the disc, should cause those regions to cease forming new stars and become optically red (in the absence of external gas inflow). The time-scale for the transfer of gas along a bar has been estimated at \( \sim 10^8 \) yr (Athanassoula, private communication to Coelho & Gadotti 2011).

The only previous study of the \( \text{H} \text{I} \) gas fraction of barred galaxies (Davoust & Contini 2004) concluded that the decrease in \( \text{H} \text{I} \) content for the transfer of gas along a bar has been estimated at optically red (in the absence of external gas inflow). The time-scale should cause those regions to cease forming new stars and become in addition, by removing gas from the outer regions of the disc, very efficiently (Athanassoula 2003), and therefore is predicted to have important effects on the evolution of a bar.

Numerical simulations of galaxies with gas, generally find that the presence of a gaseous component will (1) inhibit the formation of bars, by dampening the initial bar instability (Bertenzen et al. 1998; Villa-Vargas et al. 2010), and (2) eventually destroy the bar, either by building up a central mass concentration (CMC) which destroys the bar orbits (Friedli & Benz 1993; Shen & Sellwood 2004; Athanassoula et al. 2005; Bertonzen et al. 2007; Debattista et al. 2006), or by the process of transferring angular momentum from the gas to the bar (Bournaud & Combes 2002; Bournaud, Combes & Semelin 2005). The time-scales for dissolution, and the amount of gas required to significantly affect the bar evolution depend on the details of the simulation. Time-scales vary from 1 to 2.5 Gyr (e.g. Bournaud & Combes 2002, who saw multiple periods of bar formation and dissolution in a 20-Gyr simulation; Bournaud et al. 2005; Heller et al. 2007) to much longer (5 Gyr or more in Athanassoula et al. 2005; Berentzen et al. 2006), while the gas fraction required varies from a few per cent of the visible matter (e.g. Friedli & Benz 1993; Bournaud et al. 2005) to as much as 20 per cent (Shen & Sellwood 2004; Debattista et al. 2006).

In many simulations, however, the gas fractions investigated are lower than those observed in real disc galaxies. This may be partly due to an inability to stimulate bar growth in model galaxies with large gas fractions (as discussed in Villa-Vargas et al. 2010). In Berentzen et al. (2007) it is claimed that most disc galaxies have typically less than 10 per cent of their disc mass in stars (no citation given), however, recent studies of the \( \text{H} \text{I} \) atomic gas content of galaxies indicate the fraction is usually much larger, and at the stellar mass investigated by Berentzen et al. (2007) the average gas fraction (\( M_{\text{HI}}/M_* \)) of disc galaxies is observed to be about 8 per cent with a range between the detection limit of about 3 per cent and as much as 60 per cent (Catinella et al. 2010). Both Debattista et al. (2006) and Villa-Vargas et al. (2010) ran simulations with up to 50 per cent cold gas (by mass in the disc), although as we show in Fig. 4 (and see e.g. Toribo et al. 2010; Catinella et al. 2010) observationally disc galaxies are seen to have even just atomic gas masses up to 10 times larger than their stellar mass (i.e. having 90 per cent of the baryonic mass of the disc in atomic gas). To fully understand correlation between bar formation and gas content will require a greater range of disc gas fractions to be simulated.

Fortunately, due to ongoing improvement in computing power, and increasing interest in the impact of secular evolution of galaxies we can expect more and more detailed simulations of bars in disc galaxies containing gas, including live haloes embedded in full cosmological simulations.

(iii) The correlation between bar fraction and gas content is driven by their mutual dependence on environment

Disc galaxies do not live in isolation. It has long been suggested that at least some bars may be triggered by environmental interactions (e.g. Byrd et al. 1986; Noguchi 1996; Berentzen et al. 2004). It has recently been shown that even after correcting for stellar mass and colour, there is some residual tendency for barred disc galaxies to cluster more strongly than unbarred disc galaxies, particularly on scales of \( \sim 400 \) kpc (Skibba et al. 2012), a subtle effect previously unobserved in smaller samples (e.g. Aguerri, Méndez-Abreu & Corsini 2009; Li et al. 2009; Méndez-Abreu, Sánchez-Janssen & Aguerri 2010; Martínez & Muriel 2011). In addition it is well known that the atomic gas content of galaxies is reduced as they enter virialized structures (e.g. Haynes & Giovanelli 1984; Solanes et al. 2001; Toribo et al. 2011b). While ram pressure stripping is probably the dominant process removing gas (see e.g. the review of Boselli & Gavazzi 2006), even quite gentle processes such as strangulation/starvation can remove halo gas in lower density environments (Larson, Tinsley & Caldwell 1980; Balogh, Navarro & Morris 2000; Bekki, Couch & Shioya 2002). Galaxy harassment in clusters may also act, both forming a stellar bar, and driving the gaseous component to the centre of the galaxy (Moore et al. 1996; Moore, Lake & Katz 1998). All together this suggests that disc galaxies suffering even quite mild environmental effects may both be more likely to have triggered bar instabilities, and lower than average amounts of atomic gas. Finally, there is some evidence that bars are more likely to be triggered by environmental effects when the disc is depleted of gas (Berentzen et al. 2004). More simulations of the internal structure of disc galaxies which include both stars and gas, and are embedded in full cosmological simulations (e.g. Heller et al. 2007) will help to explain these issues better.

If bars are transitory objects on the time-scale of less than \( 1-2 \) Gyr or so (e.g. Block et al. 2002; Combes 2008; van den Bergh 2011) our observations suggest that gas content is driving the likelihood of a disc galaxy being observed with or without a bar. That at a fixed gas fraction there is no (little) correlation between bars and stellar mass is easily explained in this case, since over the lifetime of the galaxy during which it has been building up its stellar mass the galaxy could have multiple periods of hosting strong, weak or no bar, and the overall correlation between stellar mass and bar fraction is driven by more massive disc galaxies having lower gas fractions. The observation that at a fixed gas fraction/stellar mass bars are more likely to be found in redder discs can also be explained. Neglecting the effects of dust, discs will be optically red (in \( g-r \) filters) if they have had no significant star formation in the last 0.5 Gyr or so (e.g. the model spectral energy distributions, SEDs, in fig. 4 of Schawinski et al. 2007) a time-scale comparable to the lifetime of a strong bar in the models of Bournaud & Combes (2002) and Bournaud et al. (2005). Environmental correlations with bars (e.g. Skibba et al. 2012) are also easy to explain – for example, if strangulation-like processes remove the outer gas from disc galaxies, while the inner gas is funnelled to the centre along the bar this would quickly clear the disc of gas causing any bar present in the galaxy to become extremely long lived (e.g. Athanassoula 2003), and star formation to effectively cease in all but the very central regions.

If bars are very long lived, then disc galaxies without strong bars have simply not yet developed them. The gas content could still...
be driving observed correlations of the bar fraction with galaxy properties through its ability to diminish the bar instability (Berentzen et al. 1998) and inhibit bar growth, but perhaps more likely the secular evolution driven by the bar will cause atomic gas to be used up more quickly in barred galaxies.

We see hints that if a gas-rich galaxy does (unusually) host a strong bar, it is likely to be optically redder than a similar gas-rich galaxy without a bar. We propose that this effect could be due to the exchange of angular momentum beyond co-rotation acting to inhibit infall of external gas (e.g. Combes 2008). While this effect may not be strong enough to entirely shut down the inflow of atomic gas from the halo of a disc galaxy, we suggest that it might act to slow it down, and in this way help to regulate the star formation in such galaxy (in a process which in some ways is similar to strangulation type mechanisms). Consistent with this idea, we observe that barred disc galaxies are typically redder in the region interior to bar co-rotation than exterior to it (Hoyle et al., 2011), and that the four luminous and strongly barred spirals with resolved H I imaging in The HI Nearby Galaxy Survey (THINGS; Walter et al. 2008) all have significant H I holes in the bar region (but we note that many unbarred galaxies do too). This idea is also in agreement with Wang et al. (2012), who use a sample of massive ($M_\star > 10^{10} M_\odot$) SDSS galaxies with bars identified from ellipse fitting methods, along with a comparison of central and global specific star formation rates, to conclude that bars may play a role in quenching global star formation in massive disc galaxies, at the same time as increasing central star formation (see also e.g. Coelho & Gadotti 2011; Ellison et al. 2011; Oh et al. 2012; Lee et al. 2012). This picture of ‘bar quenching’ could and should be tested by more detailed numerical simulations of bars in gas-rich galaxies, and by resolved H I imaging of a larger sample of gas-rich strongly barred galaxies. Curiously it has the same sort of mass scaling used in semi-analytic models of galaxy formation to introduce the star formation feedback more usually tied to the presence of AGN (which like strong bars are more common in more massive disc galaxies).

We finish by reminding the reader that atomic H I is not the only kind of gas which is found in galaxies. Also likely important for bar dynamics is the molecular H$_2$. This gas phase might actually be expected to be more important than H I, since the H$_2$ in disc galaxies is more likely to be found inside the disc radius to which the bar reaches, while a significant fraction of the H I in discs is usually found outside the optical radius (as first systematically shown by Broeils & Rhee 1997), and H I distributions often show central holes (e.g. Roberts 1975; Bosma 1978; Shostak 1978). H$_2$ is also important as the phase which plays the direct role in star formation and therefore gas consumption. H I must condense to H$_2$ in molecular clouds before stars can form.

The H I to H$_2$ ratio can vary substantially from galaxy to galaxy, by as much as two orders of magnitude in spiral galaxies (from $M_{H_2}/M_{HI} = 0.03$–$3.0$; Boselli, Lequeux & Gavazzi 2002; Lisfenfeld et al. 2011; Saintonge et al. 2011a). These observational studies appear to find that the median value decreases slightly from massive early-type spirals to later types. It was observed to be as much as $M_{H_2}/M_{HI} = 0.8$ in SOs (but with a high uncertainty) by Lisfenfeld et al. (2011), while Saintonge et al. (2011a) observe $M_{H_2}/M_{HI} = 0.3$ in massive discs ($\log (M_\star/M_\odot) > 10.0$), and $M_{H_2}/M_{HI} = 0.1$–$0.15$ is typical for later type spirals (Boselli et al. 2002; Lisfenfeld et al. 2011).

Despite the importance of the molecular gas phase in understanding galaxy evolution, observational samples are relatively small due to the difficulties of obtaining the CO measurements used to estimate H$_2$ content. None of the samples is large enough to study correlations of bar fraction with molecular gas content in the way we have done here, although a search for systematic variation in the $M_{H_2}/M_{HI}$ between barred and unbarred discs couple be done with the available data. Saintonge et al. (2012) see some suggestion of a reduced molecular gas depletion time for barred galaxies, but that sample is very small. We also note that the molecular gas content of a galaxy can be estimated via its correlation with other more commonly measured quantities (e.g. as discussed in Boselli et al. 2002; Bigiel et al. 2008; Saintonge et al. 2011b). An interesting extension of the observations presented in this paper would be to use something like this to add estimates of molecular gas content to the correlations we observe with atomic hydrogen.

5 SUMMARY

We use a sample of optically detected SDSS MGS galaxies with morphological classifications from the Galaxy Zoo project, and data on their H I content from the ALFALFA survey to consider correlations between the bar fraction and atomic gas content of disc galaxies. Our sample includes all moderately face-on disc galaxies with bar classifications from GZ2 in a volume limit of $0.01 < z < 0.05$ ($M_\star < -19.73$ for GZ2 selection), which were in addition detected in H I by the ALFALFA survey (specifically the $a\leq 0.02$ release; $a\leq 0.40$). The stellar mass range is $9.0 < \log (M_\star/M_\odot) < 11.5$, and the H I mass limit ranges from $\log M_{HI}/M_\odot = 8.0$ at $z = 0.01$, to $\log M_{HI}/M_\odot = 9.6$ at $z = 0.05$. We define a strongly barred galaxy as one which has a GZ2 bar probability of $p_{bar} > 0.5$, galaxies with $p_{bar} < 0.5$ are considered unbarred, or weakly barred. We find the following:

(i) There is a significant correlation between observed strong bar fraction and gas content such that bar fraction increases as gas content decreases. Barred disc galaxies contain less atomic hydrogen on average than unbarred disc galaxies. In addition we see the correlation between bar fraction and stellar mass or optical colour previously observed in a similar sample by Nair & Abraham (2010b) and Masters et al. (2011).

(ii) Using a H I gas deficiency parameter (how much more or less H I gas a galaxy has relative to the typical value for its stellar mass) we show that there is a significant correlation between H I deficiency and bar fraction such that H I deficient galaxies are more likely to host a bar, or barred galaxies are more likely to be H I deficient.

(iii) Using subsets of the sample split into massive/low mass, red/blue and gas rich/gas poor (see Figs 8 and 9) we observe that at a fixed stellar mass gas-poor galaxies have more bars than gas-rich ones. At a fixed gas fraction it is (optically) redder disc galaxies that are most likely to host bars, with less dependence on stellar mass. The biggest difference in bar fraction with gas content appears at the mass scale of typical ‘green valley’ galaxies.

(iv) Finally, we see hints that if a gas-rich galaxy does (unusually) host a strong bar, it is likely to be optically redder than a similar gas-rich galaxy without a bar.

We discuss three possible causal relationships which can explain these observations: (1) that bars in disc galaxies cause atomic gas to be used up more quickly; (2) that increasing the atomic gas content in a disc galaxy either causes bars to form more slowly, or to self-destruct more quickly, and (3) that bar fraction and gas content are both driven by correlation with environmental effects. Depending on the galaxy in question, all of these mechanisms may work together to create the observed correlations. Further study, including information on environment on a sample of disc galaxies.
with atomic gas content and bar identifications will be needed to draw stronger conclusions.

As numerical simulations of the growth of structure in the Universe become more and more complex and probe a wider dynamic range of physical scales, understanding how the internal properties of galaxies affect their global star formation histories will become more and more important. The Galaxy Zoo project provide invaluable, reliable and reproducible information on the morphologies of galaxies in samples large enough to study the complicated interrelations which drive galaxy evolution.
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APPENDIX A: BAR IDENTIFICATION FROM GALAXY ZOO 2 COMPARED TO OTHER METHODS

We have compared bar identifications in a GZ2 bar sample similar to the one described in Section 2 (and used in Masters et al. 2011; Skibba et al. 2012; namely 15 292 galaxies in a volume limit of 0.017 < z < 0.06, M∗ < −20.15, which are not more edge on that i ∼ 60◦ which have reliable bar identifications from GZ2) with other published bar identifications. The largest cross-match comprising 3638 galaxies comes from the sample of visual classifications performed on SDSS gri images by Preethi Nair (Nair & Abraham 2010a; hereafter NA10). In addition we find classic classifications for 557 galaxies in our sample from the RC3 (de
Figure A1. The distribution of GZ2 bar likelihoods for the four subsamples of bar classifications given by NA10. Top left: 2418 galaxies identified as not having a bar by NA10; top right: 521 galaxies identified as having a weak bar by NA10; bottom left: 606 galaxies identified as having an intermediate bar by NA10 and bottom right: 71 galaxies identified as having a strong bar by NA10.

Vaucouleurs et al. (1991), and 243 galaxies also have bar classifications using the method of ellipse fitting on SDSS images (as described in Barazza et al. 2008, hereafter B08, and kindly shared for the purposes of comparison by Fabio Barazza).

Table A1 shows the comparison between these different bar classifications and thresholds of $p_{\text{bar}}$ discussed in this paper. In addition we show in Fig. A1, histograms of the distribution of $p_{\text{bar}}$ from GZ2 for the four classes of bar ID provided by NA10. Both the table and figure demonstrate that the agreement between GZ2 and NA10 bar IDs is very good. Strong and intermediate bar from NA10 almost all (>90 per cent) have the high values of $p_{\text{bar}} > 0.5$ used in this paper (and previous GZ2 work) to identify strong bars. In addition galaxies without bars from NA10 all have low values of $p_{\text{bar}}$ (71 per cent with $p_{\text{bar}} < 0.2$; or 92 per cent with $p_{\text{bar}} < 0.5$) while weak bars from NA10 have intermediate values of $p_{\text{bar}}$. There are also a small but not insignificant number of RC3 strong barred galaxies with low values of $p_{\text{bar}}$. We find that these are typically also classified as unbarred by NA10 and therefore suggest that the mismatch is either due to (1) the images used in RC3 being more sensitive to subtle bar features than the SDSS images used by GZ2 and NA10 or (2) human error in the RC3.

The comparison between GZ2 and B08 bar IDs again demonstrates that the methods agree well at identifying galaxies without bars. Most RC3 weak/unsure bars have very low values of $p_{\text{bar}}$. The there are a small but not insignificant number of RC3 strong barred galaxies with low values of $p_{\text{bar}}$. We find that these are typically also classified as unbarred by NA10 and therefore suggest that the mismatch is either due to (1) the images used in RC3 being more sensitive to subtle bar features than the SDSS images used by GZ2 and NA10 or (2) human error in the RC3.

The comparison between GZ2 and B08 bar IDs again demonstrates that the methods agree well at identifying galaxies without bars. However, a significant fraction of B08 identified bars have low values of $p_{\text{bar}}$ (66 per cent with $p_{\text{bar}} < 0.5$ and even 37 per cent with $p_{\text{bar}} < 0.2$). We attribute this difference to B08 bar IDs including both weak and strong bars, while the GZ2 selects only strong bars (Barazza et al., private communication, based on the size distribution of bars identified by B08 and GZ2).

This comparison between GZ2 and other published bar classifications demonstrates the power of citizen science methods for visual classification. As the GZ2 $p_{\text{bar}}$ value is the result of lots of pairs of independent (and fresh) eyes it does not make spurious mistakes. Expert classification clearly helps with the details and tricky cases, but those tricky cases must involve subjective decisions, and in addition can be prone to human error (something as simple as hitting the wrong key). Automatic classifications are quantitative, but can be prone to being influence by the unexpected. Where 10 or more citizen scientists independently classify a galaxy and most see a bar, we can be very certain that something which looks like a bar is present in the image.