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Restriction of Transient Receptor Potential Vanilloid-1 to the Peptidergic Subset of Primary Afferent Neurons Follows Its Developmental Downregulation in Nonpeptidergic Neurons

Daniel J. Cavanaugh,1 Alexander T. Chesler,2,3 Joao M. Bráz,1 Nirao M. Shah,1 David Julius,2,3 and Allan I. Basbaum1

Primary afferent “pain” fibers (nociceptors) are divided into subclasses based on distinct molecular and anatomical features, and these classes mediate noxious modality-specific contributions to behaviors evoked by painful stimuli. Whether the heat and capsaicin receptor transient receptor potential vanilloid-1 (TRPV1) is expressed heterogeneously across several sensory populations, or is selectively expressed by a unique nociceptor subclass, however, is unclear. Here we used two lines of TRPV1 reporter mice to investigate the primary afferent expression of TRPV1, both during development and in the adult. We demonstrate, using Cre-induced lineage tracing, that during development TRPV1 is transiently expressed in a wide range of dorsal root ganglion neurons, and that its expression is gradually refined, such that TRPV1 transcripts become restricted to a specific subset of peptidergic sensory neurons. Finally, the remarkable sensitivity that is characteristic of these reporter mice revealed an innervation of central and peripheral targets by TRPV1+ primary afferents in the adult that is considerably more extensive than has previously been appreciated.

Introduction

Nociceptors are defined, in part, by their sensitivity to capsaicin, the pungent ingredient in chili peppers. Capsaicin targets transient receptor potential vanilloid-1 (TRPV1), a nonspecific cation channel that is also activated by noxious heat, protons, and membrane-derived lipids such as anandamide (Caterina and Julius, 2001). Consistent with its association with nociceptors, TRPV1 has been localized to small-diameter, unmyelinated C-fibers and medium-diameter, thinly myelinated Aδ fibers in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) (Tominaga et al., 1998; Michael and Priestley, 1999).

C-fibers are broadly subdivided into two classes based on anatomical and molecular properties. The peptidergic class expresses the neuropeptides calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) and substance P (SP). Axons of these DRG neurons terminate in the most superficial laminae of the spinal cord dorsal horn (laminae I and outer II). The nonpeptidergic subset, which can be marked by its binding of isolecitin B4 (IB4), extends axons that terminate in the inner part of lamina II, ventral to the band of peptidergic fiber innervation (Basbaum et al., 2009). These subsets also innervate different epidermal layers (Zylka et al., 2005) and likely engage distinct central circuits (Bráz et al., 2005; Bráz and Basbaum, 2009).

In addition to their molecular and anatomical differences, these populations subserve distinct pain modalities. Thus, we demonstrated that nonpeptidergic afferents that express the Mas-related G-protein-coupled receptor D (MrgprD) selectively contribute to mechanical, and not heat, pain behaviors. In contrast, the peptidergic fibers that express TRPV1 are essential for behaviors evoked by noxious heat, but are dispensable for mechanically evoked behaviors (Cavanaugh et al., 2009).

Several sensory receptors are selectively expressed by nociceptor subsets. It is unclear, however, to what extent TRPV1 expression follows a subset-specific regulation. In the rat, both peptidergic and nonpeptidergic nociceptors express TRPV1 (Tominaga et al., 1998). In contrast, immunohistochemical analysis in the mouse showed that TRPV1 predominates in the peptidergic subset (Zwick et al., 2002). Electrophysiological analyses, however, suggested that TRPV1 is present in a substantial portion of nonpeptidergic neurons, but apparently at levels below the detection limit of immunohistochemistry (Breese et al., 2005; Hjerling-Leffler et al., 2007).

To understand better the primary afferent distribution of TRPV1, we recently generated two lines of knock-in mice (Cavanaugh et al., 2011). In the first line (referred to as TRPV1Cre mice), Cre recombinase is expressed under the control of the endogenous TRPV1 promoter. In the second line (TRPV1PLAP-nlacZ mice), placental alkaline phosphatase (PLAP) and nuclear lacZ (nlacZ) are expressed under the control of the endogenous TRPV1 promoter. Because Cre is “turned on” in the embryo, when the TRPV1 promoter is first active, these Cre mice can be used to generate a fate map of TRPV1-expressing cells. By contrast, PLAP and nlacZ in TRPV1PLAP-nlacZ mice are limited to
cells that express TRPV1 at any given time. Using these mice, we demonstrate that, during development TRPV1 is transiently expressed in a wide range of DRG neurons, including both the prospective peptidergic and nonpeptidergic populations. However, in the adult, expression is refined such that TRPV1 expression is largely limited to the peptidergic population.

Materials and Methods

Mice and injections
Animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and conducted in accordance with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the recommendations of the International Association for the Study of Pain. The TRPV1Cre, TRPV1 PLAP-nlacZ, R26R-lacZ, and R26R-EYFP mice were described previously (Soriano, 1999; Srinivas et al., 2001; Cavanaugh et al., 2011). For resinfixeratin (RTX) injections, mice of either sex were anesthetized with 1.5% isoflurane and injected subcutaneously with escalating doses of RTX on consecutive days (Sándor et al., 2009) (30, 70, 100, and 200 mg/ml), followed by another 200 mg/ml injection 7 later. Histology was performed 7–10 d following the final RTX injection.

PLAP and lacZ detection
PLAP localization. Mice of either sex were perfused with 10 ml HEPES-buffered saline (HBS) followed by 20 ml of ice-cold 3.7% formaldehyde. Brain, spinal cord, peripheral tissue, DRG, trigeminal ganglion (TG), and nodose ganglion (NG) were dissected out, fixed overnight at 4°C and cryoprotected overnight (O/N) in 30% sucrose. For brain and spinal cord, 40–80 µm sections were cut on a freezing microtome and processed as free-floating sections. For DRGs, TG, NG, and skin, tissue was frozen at –80°C in Tissue-Tek Optimal Cutting Temperature Compound (Sakura Finetek). We cut 14 µm cryostat sections, which were processed on slides. Peripheral tissue (skin, cornea, bladder) was processed as a whole mount.

Sections were collected in ice-cold HBS and then rinsed several times with room temperature HBS. Endogenous alkaline phosphatase activity was inactivated by a 60–90 min incubation in 72°C HBS. Following heat inactivation, sections were rinsed in room temperature HBS, washed 3–5 min in B1 buffer (0.1 M Tris, pH 7.5; 0.15 M NaCl) and 3–5 min in B3 buffer (0.1 M Tris, pH 9.5; 0.1 M NaCl; 50 mM MgCl2). PLAP was visualized by incubating sections O/N in 0.2 mg/ml BCIP (Roche) and 1 mg/ml NBT (Roche) in B3 buffer. The reaction was stopped by washing several times in room temperature HBS. Endogenous alkaline phosphatase activity was inactivated, sections were rinsed in HBS and then mounted with Fluoromount G (Southern Biotech).

Tissue for lacZ detection was processed as described above except that postfixation time was limited to 1.5 h, to preserve enzyme activity. Sections were rinsed in solution A (PBS with 2.0 mM MgCl2), rinsed briefly with prewarmed (37°C) solution B (0.1 M NaPO4; 2 mM MgCl2; 0.2% NP40; 0.1% Na deoxycholate) and then incubated 1–3 d in solution C solution B + 0.5 M K ferrocyanide; 0.5 M K ferricyanide; 0.01% w/v X-gal) at 37°C. The reaction was stopped with HBS containing 1.0 mM EDTA. Sections were then fixed for at least 1 h in 3.7% formaldehyde at 4°C and destained with a series of dehydration and rehydration steps in ethanol until the desired signal-to-noise ratio was reached. Sections were rinsed in HBS and then mounted with Fluoromount G (Southern Biotech). We cut 14 µm cryostat sections, which were processed on slides. Peripheral tissue (skin, cornea, bladder) was processed as a whole mount.

Sections were collected in ice-cold HBS and then rinsed several times with room temperature HBS. Endogenous alkaline phosphatase activity was inactivated by a 60–90 min incubation in 72°C HBS. Following heat inactivation, sections were rinsed in room temperature HBS, washed 3–5 min in B1 buffer (0.1 M Tris, pH 7.5; 0.15 M NaCl) and 3–5 min in B3 buffer (0.1 M Tris, pH 9.5; 0.1 M NaCl; 50 mM MgCl2). PLAP was visualized by incubating sections O/N in 0.2 mg/ml BCIP (Roche) and 1 mg/ml NBT (Roche) in B3 buffer. The reaction was stopped by washing several times in HBS containing 1 mM EDTA.

Sections were processed for immunohistochemistry as described above except that postfixation time was limited to 1.5 h, to preserve enzyme activity. Sections were rinsed in solution A (PBS with 2.0 mM MgCl2), rinsed briefly with prewarmed (37°C) solution B (0.1 M NaPO4; 2 mM MgCl2; 0.2% NP40; 0.1% Na deoxycholate) and then incubated 1–3 d in solution C solution B + 0.5 M K ferrocyanide; 0.5 M K ferricyanide; 0.01% w/v X-gal) at 37°C. The reaction was stopped with HBS containing 1.0 mM EDTA. Sections were then fixed for at least 1 h in 3.7% formaldehyde at 4°C, rinsed with HBS, and then mounted with Fluoromount G.

For embryonic tissue, embryos were removed and immersion fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde at 4°C for 1 h, then incubated O/N in 20% sucrose in solution A at 4°C. Embryos were snap frozen at –80°C in OCT, 20 µm cryostat sections were cut, and tissue was processed on slides.

Immunohistochemistry
Sections were processed for immunohistochemistry as described above for PLAP. After a 1 h incubation in 5% normal goat serum in PBS with 0.3% Triton (NGST) to block nonspecific antibody binding, the sections were incubated O/N in primary antibody solution diluted in 1% NGST. The following day, the sections were washed three times with 1% NGST, then incubated 2 h in secondary antibody solution (Alexa-488 or Alexa-594, diluted 1:700 in 1% NGST). After washing three times in 0.1 M PB, sections were mounted and coverslipped with Fluoromount G. Primary antisera included the following: guinea pig anti-TRPV1 (1:1000; gift from D. Julius, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA); rabbit anti-β-gal (1:10,000; Cappel); rabbit anti-CGRP (1:1000; Peninsula); guinea pig anti-SP (1:8000; gift from J. Maggio, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH); and mouse anti-N52 (1:1000; Sigma). For IB4 binding, we used biotinylated IB4 (1:500; Vector Laboratories) instead of primary antiserum. For double labeling images with X-gal staining followed by immunohistochemistry, we performed a standard X-gal reaction with O/N incubation in solution C, then washed several times with 0.1 mM PB before continuing with the immunohistochemistry protocol. The X-gal reaction product was pseudocolored magenta so that these images could be overlayed with fluorescent immunohistochemical images. To quantify labeling, we counted five sections of L4/5 DRG or nodose ganglion in each of three animals. Percentages are presented as mean ± SE.

Results

TRPV1Cre in the DRG
To investigate TRPV1 expression in the DRG, we crossed TRPV1Cre mice with various Cre-dependent reporter lines. When we crossed TRPV1Cre mice with the R26R-lacZ reporter line (TRPV1Cre/R26R-lacZ mice) (Soriano, 1999), in which lacZ is expressed in a Cre-dependent manner, we observed lacZ expression in 49.4 ± 4% of adult DRG neurons. Cre recombination occurred at a high frequency in both peptidergic and nonpeptidergic neurons (88.9 ± 2.6% and 73.8 ± 9.8% of SP+ and IB4+ DRG neurons, respectively), and were also lacZ+ (Fig. 1B, C). In addition to these unmyelinated C-fiber populations, we also observed that 19.4 ± 4.7% of myelinated DRG neurons, identified by immunostaining for the neurofilament NF200 (N52), were lacZ+ (Fig. 1D). Thus, TRPV1Cre mice exhibit recombination in a wide range of DRG neurons, including a substantial percentage of both peptidergic and nonpeptidergic C-fibers, and in a subset of myelinated neurons.

We also crossed TRPV1Cre mice with R26R-EYFP reporter mice (TRPV1Cre/R26R-EYFP mice) (Srinivas et al., 2001), in which Cre recombination results in EYFP expression. This line resulted in stronger axonal labeling, which allowed us to record
the central and peripheral projections of DRG neurons that had undergone Cre-dependent recombination. EYFP immunostaining in the spinal cord revealed a dense band of staining that included lamina I and outer II, where peptidergic afferents terminate. The terminal pattern extended into inner lamina II, the layer that is innervated by nonpeptidergic afferents (Fig. 1E, F). Figure 1F, in fact, illustrates that there is substantial overlap between EYFP and IB4 staining in the spinal cord, in agreement with the colocalization of lacZ and IB4 in DRG neurons of TRPV1Cre/R26R-lacZ mice. And, consistent with Cre expression in myelinated DRG neurons, we observed EYFP-afferents terminating ventral to the band of IB4 staining (Fig. 1F, arrowheads) into an area that is targeted by projections from myelinated mechanoreceptive afferents (Neumann et al., 2008).

This pattern of DRG expression and spinal cord termination differs considerably from that reported in the adult mouse, using antibodies directed against TRPV1. The latter studies concluded that TRPV1 is restricted to peptidergic afferents (Zwick et al., 2002). One possibility is that the discrepancy resulted from the remarkable sensitivity of the TRPV1Cre line compared with traditional immunohistochemical techniques for the detection of TRPV1 expression. On the other hand, as the TRPV1Cre line generates a fate map of TRPV1 expression, we hypothesized that the lacZ expression in IB4-binding DRG neurons of TRPV1Cre/R26R-lacZ mice in fact represented cells that expressed TRPV1 at some point during development, but not in the adult. Consistent with this latter possibility, when we compared the fate map of TRPV1, as represented by lacZ staining, and the adult expression pattern revealed by TRPV1 immunoreactivity, we found that only 50.8 ± 2.5% of lacZ-neurons were TRPV1+ (Fig. 1A).

A comparison of TRPV1 and EYFP staining in the spinal cord of TRPV1Cre/R26R-EYFP mice also revealed that EYFP+ afferents extended into deeper laminae of the spinal cord dorsal horn (Fig. 1E). Finally, EYFP+ afferents in sections of paw skin exhibited ramified endings that extended into the most superficial layers of the epidermis, in a manner and density similar to those of nonpeptidergic afferents (Zylka et al., 2005). Importantly, when studied in the adult, these axons generally did not colocalize with TRPV1 immunoreactivity (Fig. 1G). Together, these data suggest that TRPV1 expression in the mouse is developmentally regulated such that the distribu-

Figure 1. Staining in DRG and spinal cord of TRPV1Cre mice crossed with Cre-dependent reporter lines. A–D, Double labeling of DRG sections from TRPV1Cre/R26R-lacZ mice for lacZ and markers of primary afferent neuron populations. Left panels are stained for lacZ immunoreactivity (green). Middle panels show the same sections stained by immunohistochemistry for the markers listed (red). Right panels show merged images. E, F, Spinal cord sections of TRPV1Cre/R26R-EYFP mice are stained for EYFP (left, green) and TRPV1 or IB4 (middle, red) (F). Merged images are shown on the right. F, Arrowheads show EYFP+ axons extending ventral to the band of IB4 staining. G, Staining in a section of skin from the hindpaw of a TRPV1Cre/R26R-EYFP mouse for EYFP (left, green) and TRPV1 (middle, red). A merged image is shown on the right. Arrowheads show EYFP+/TRPV1+ afferent endings. Scale bars: D, G, 100 μm; F, 200 μm.
Developmental regulation of TRPV1 expression

To test directly the hypothesis of developmental regulation of TRPV1 expression in the DRG, we next investigated the time course of TRPV1 expression. To this end, we stained whole embryo sections of TRPV1PLAP-nlacZ mice for nlacZ, at different developmental stages. Although previous studies using TRPV1 antibodies first detected expression in DRG at E13.5 (Tamura et al., 2005; Funakoshi et al., 2006), a more recent study reported that a small percentage of cultured embryonic (E12.5) DRG neurons respond to capsaicin (Hjerling-Leffler et al., 2007). Consistent with this latter finding, we first detected nlacZ in DRG neurons at E12.5 (Fig. 2B, arrows). At this stage, only a very small number of DRG cells were nlacZ/+, but the number increased dramatically by E13.5 (Fig. 2C) and peaked at E14.5 (Fig. 2D). By E16.5, the overall percentage of nlacZ+ cells has dropped slightly. Insets show magnification of boxed areas. Scale bar: (in E) A–E, 200 μm.

We next examined TRPV1 expression at different postnatal ages. At P1, 59.7 ± 1.7% of DRG neurons were nlacZ+ (Fig. 3A). At P8, this percentage decreased to 44.3 ± 5.1% of DRG neurons (Fig. 3B), and by P15, it dropped to 33.6 ± 1.3% (Fig. 3C), which closely matched expression levels at P22 (30.5 ± 3%) (Fig. 3D) and in the adult (32.0 ± 2.6%) (Fig. 3E). Paralleling the enhanced proportion of TRPV1+ DRG neurons during the first two postnatal weeks, we found that PLAP staining of spinal cords was more widely distributed, with a greater dorsal–ventral spread of TRPV1+ afferents during early postnatal stages (Fig. 3F–H). This staining pattern was most exuberant at P8, and steadily declined until adulthood. Together, these results indicate that, compared with the final adult pattern, TRPV1 is expressed more broadly during embryogenesis and in the first two postnatal development is more extensive than in the adult mouse, especially within the nonpeptidergic population.

Developmental time course of nlacZ staining in DRG. A–E, nlacZ staining on sections of TRPV1 PLAP-nlacZ mouse embryos. A, No nlacZ staining is observed at E11.5 (Dotted lines delineate DRGs). B, By E12.5, a small minority of DRG cells are nlacZ+. Note nlacZ+ cells (arrows). C–E, nlacZ expression increases from E13.5 (C) to E14.5 (D), when the percentage of labeled cells reaches a maximum. D, By E16.5, the overall percentage of nlacZ+ cells has dropped slightly. Insets show magnification of boxed areas. Scale bar: (in E) A–E, 200 μm.

Figure 2.

Postnatal regulation of TRPV1 in DRG neurons. A–E, nlacZ staining in DRG sections of TRPV1 PLAP-nlacZ mice. A, At P1, 59.7 ± 1.7% of DRG neurons were nlacZ+. B, At P8, 45.4 ± 4.4% of DRG neurons were nlacZ+. C–E, By P15, 33.6 ± 4.4% of neurons were nlacZ+. F–J, PLAP staining of spinal cord sections of TRPV1 PLAP-nlacZ mice at P1 (F), P8 (G), P15 (H), P22, and adult (J). Note that staining becomes progressively restricted to the outermost dorsal horn laminae. The percentage of nlacZ+ DRG neurons at each time point is given as mean ± SEM. Scale bars: E, 100 μm; J, 200 μm.
weeks. This enhanced developmental expression is manifested as an increase in the percentage of TRPV1/H11001 DRG neurons, and in an expanded termination zone of TRPV1/H11001 afferents in the spinal cord.

Adult expression of TRPV1 in primary afferent neurons

We investigated the adult distribution of TRPV1 by performing nlacZ staining in TRPV1PLAP-nlacZ mice, followed by immunohistochemistry for various markers of nociceptor populations. Compared with TRPV1Cre/R26R-lacZ mice, in which only half of the DRG neurons marked by reporter molecules could be labeled with antibodies directed against TRPV1, virtually all (95 ± 4%) of nlacZ+ DRG neurons in TRPV1PLAP-nlacZ mice were TRPV1 immunoreactive (Fig. 4A). Corroborating previous reports, and in contrast to the broad distribution observed in TRPV1Cre mice, we found that TRPV1 in the adult is enriched selectively in peptidergic C-fibers. Thus, 64.0 ± 2.3% of nlacZ+ DRG neurons colabeled for CGRP (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, 82.4 ± 1.3% of SP+ cells and 48.9 ± 1.9% of CGRP+ cells were nlacZ+ (Fig. 4B, C). As SP primarily marks unmyelinated peptidergic nociceptors, while CGRP is expressed in both myelinated and unmyelinated peptidergic afferents, these results suggest that TRPV1+ cells in the adult account for nearly all of the unmyelinated, peptidergic subtype. In contrast, only 11.5 ± 0.7% of nlacZ+ DRG neurons bound IB4 (Fig. 4D). This number is greater than that obtained by immunohistochemical analyses (Zwick et al., 2002), presumably because of the greater sensitivity of nlacZ staining. Finally, we found that only a very small percentage of nlacZ+ neurons that colabels with these various markers, it is clear that a significant number (~20%) of TRPV1+ neurons are negative for both peptidergic and nonpeptidergic markers, which indicates that the peptidergic and nonpeptidergic classes of C-fiber do not account for the entirety of unmyelinated primary afferent neurons.

TRPV1 in the TG

The TGs contain the cell bodies of sensory neurons that innervate the head and neck, including several unique targets [e.g., teeth (Fehrenbacher et al., 2009), cornea (Bates et al., 2010), and cranial dura (Dux et al., 2009)]. Because of these specialized targets, it is possible that TG nociceptors differ from DRG neurons in their general neurochemical makeup. We therefore assayed TRPV1 expression in trigeminal afferents using the TRPV1PLAP-nlacZ mice.

Somewhat surprisingly, TRPV1 was relatively less abundant in the TG compared with the DRG; only 20.3 ± 1.0% of adult TG neurons were nlacZ+. Nevertheless, as in the DRG, TRPV1 in the TG was preferentially expressed in peptidergic neurons. Thus, 73.2 ± 12.0% of nlacZ+ neurons in the TGs were CGRP+, while 15.1 ± 1.8% of nlacZ+ bound IB4.

Innervation of peripheral and central tissue by TRPV1+ afferents

To investigate the innervation of peripheral and central tissues by TRPV1+ afferents in the adult, we stained these tissues for PLAP in TRPV1PLAP-nlacZ mice. We observed extensive axonal labeling of peripheral tissues, including the bladder (Fig. 5A), cornea (Fig. 5B), and glabrous and hairy skin of the hindpaw (Fig. 5C). TRPV1 fibers in glabrous skin of the paw generally stratified parallel to the epidermal/dermal boundary (Fig. 5E, arrows) and sent regular projections into the innermost epidermal layers (Fig. 5E, arrowheads). Occasionally, we observed axonal endings that ex-
Strikingly, we also observed PLAP-positive afferents to motor neurons of the nucleus ambiguus. This region has previously been implicated in the polysynaptic transmission of nociceptive inputs to the amygdala. Finally, we found extensive axonal PLAP staining in the granule layer of the olfactory bulb (Fig. 6D), as well as sparser innervation of the glomerular region of the bulb. Importantly, PLAP labeling was completely eliminated following systemic administration of the neurotoxic TRPV1 agonist RTX (Fig. 7), indicating that the anatomical expression patterns represent functional TRPV1 channels. That axonal PLAP labeling in the brain derives from primary afferent origin is suggested by the fact that we do not observe PLAP+ cell bodies in the brain, and that none of these brain areas are labeled by nlacZ in TRPV1PLAP-nlacZ mice (Cavanaugh et al., 2011).

**Calcium imaging in DRG neurons of TRPV1<sup>Cre</sup>/R26R-EYFP mice**

The more restricted pattern of expression in the TRPV1<sup>PLAP-nlacZ</sup> mice, compared with that observed in the TRPV1<sup>Cre</sup> line, suggested that TRPV1 is extinguished by both nonpeptidergic and myelinated DRG neurons during development, resulting in a loss of functional TRPV1 expression in these populations. It is, of course, also possible that these subsets of neurons express functional TRPV1 in the adult mouse, but at levels below the detection limit of immunohistochemical staining, or of X-gal staining in TRPV1<sup>PLAP-nlacZ</sup> mice. To differentiate between these two possibilities, we next performed calcium imaging experiments in TRPV1<sup>Cre</sup>/R26R-EYFP mice and monitored responses to the potent and selective TRPV1 agonist capsaicin (Fig. 8).

We reasoned that if TRPV1 expression is extinguished by subsets of DRG neurons during development, this would be reflected...
by EYFP+ neurons in culture that do not respond to capsaicin. The great majority (93%) of capsaicin-responsive neurons in TRPV1^{Cre}/R26R-EYFP mice were EYFP+, indicating that most TRPV1-expressing cells in the adult had undergone Cre-dependent recombination. However, consistent with a developmental downregulation of TRPV1 in DRG neurons, we found that only 64% (76/119) of EYFP+ neurons in the adult responded to application of capsaicin. By contrast, we have previously shown that only 64% (76/119) of EYFP+ cells that did not respond to capsaicin, and found that 56% bound the lectin IB4. Furthermore, although nearly 89% of IB4+ cells in culture were EYFP+, only 31% of IB4+ cells were capsaicin responsive. Finally, only ~20% of capsaicin-responsive cells were IB4+, in general agreement with our finding that 12% of nlacZ+ DRG neurons in adult TRPV1^{PLAP-nlacZ} mice were IB4+. In total, these data definitively demonstrate that TRPV1 is developmentally expressed more broadly than it is in the adult, and that a substantial percentage of IB4-binding, non-peptidergic neurons lose functional TRPV1 expression during development.

**Discussion**

**Extensive innervation of peripheral and CNS tissue by TRPV1+ afferents**

In the present study, we used a genetic approach to document the primary afferent distribution of TRPV1 in the developing and adult mouse. This approach has several advantages compared with traditional techniques used to localize TRPV1, including enhanced sensitivity and much greater signal to background. Analysis of knock-in mice in which we expressed reporter molecules under the control of the endogenous TRPV1 promoter demonstrated that, in the adult mouse, TRPV1 is largely restricted to the peptidergic population of DRG neurons. In addition, the excellent sensitivity of PLAP staining in adult TRPV1^{PLAP-nlacZ} mice allowed for a greater appreciation of the extent of primary afferent innervation in both central and peripheral tissues. The greater signal-to-noise ratio and minimal background using PLAP is particularly advantageous compared with studies using TRPV1 antisera. For example, while others reported barely detectable levels of TRPV1 protein in the skin under basal conditions (Ji et al., 2002; Eliot et al., 2006), we observed dense axonal arbors coursing throughout the skin. We also observed a much denser corneal innervation than did an earlier immunohistochemical analysis (Murata and Masuko, 2006), and also found extensive PLAP labeling in the olfactory bulb. Although the olfactory bulb is an established target of peptidergic trigeminal afferents (Finger and Böttger, 1993), the extent of innervation that we detected greatly exceeds what was previously reported, especially with regard to innervation of the granule layer of the bulb.

We also observed prominent PLAP labeling in axons that course throughout the parabrachial nucleus. As the only PLAP+ cell bodies in the nervous system of TRPV1^{PLAP-nlacZ} mice are in sensory ganglia (Cavanaugh et al., 2011), we hypothesize that the parabrachial staining is of primary afferent origin. It follows that neurons in this region receive significant direct (i.e., monosynaptic) primary afferent nociceptive input. It is of particular interest that the densest PLAP staining is in the in the external lateral portion of the parabrachial nucleus, a region that receives polysynaptic nociceptive input from nociceptive lamina I neurons of the dorsal horn and trigeminal nucleus caudalis (Feil and Herbert, 1995; Jasmin et al., 1997). These parabrachial neurons in turn project to the amygdala, which has been implicated in the emotional quality of the pain experience. Direct access of trigeminal primary afferent neurons to the parabrachial nucleus would provide an alternative circuit that could contribute to this aspect of the pain experience, in addition to the spinoparabrachial pathway. It is also possible that these TRPV1+ fibers contact parabrachial areas involved in descending pain modulation, such as the Kölliker–Fuse nucleus (Willis and Westlund, 1997). Interestingly, there does not appear to be a DRG homolog of the direct trigeminal afferent input to the parabrachial nucleus, perhaps because the input relates to the structures uniquely innervated by TG nociceptors. Studies that determine the peripheral target of the TG ganglion cells that project to the PB would therefore be informative.

**Developmental downregulation of TRPV1 expression in nociceptors**

By monitoring the developmental time course, we found that TRPV1 expression emerges in a very small number of DRG neurons at E12.5. Over the next 2 embryonic days, the number of TRPV1+ cells greatly increases, after which, during late embryonic and early postnatal development, there is a gradual decline in the TRPV1+
population. These findings are in agreement with functional imaging studies, which assayed capsaicin responsiveness of cultured DRG neurons throughout development, and found that the percentage of capsaicin-responsive neurons decreases over time (Hjerling-Leffler et al., 2007).

Without a means to prospectively mark early TRPV1+ cells, however, it was previously not possible to determine whether the developmental reduction in the percentage of TRPV1+ DRG neurons was due to a downregulation of TRPV1 in a subset of DRG neurons, or to the wave of cell death that occurs in the DRG during this time period (Coggleshall et al., 1994). In fact, we found that the reporter molecule expression in TRPV1Cre/R26R-lacZ and TRPV1Cre/R26R-EYFP mice persists into adulthood, even in cells in which TRPV1 is no longer expressed. This Cre-induced lineage analysis allowed us to follow the fate of cells that express TRPV1 embryonically. Our analysis showed that almost half of the lacZ+ cells in adult TRPV1Cre/R26R-lacZ mice were not TRPV1 immunoreactive, and this included a very high percentage of the nonpeptidergic population of unmyelinated DRG neurons (Zwick et al., 2002). These observations are highly consistent with those derived from an analysis of bacteria artificial chromosome (BAC) transgenic mice in which Cre was expressed under the control of the TRPV1 gene (Mishra et al., 2011) and suggest that there is a developmental downregulation of TRPV1 in some DRG neurons. However, histochemical analysis alone of TRPV1Cre mice, whether based on a BAC or knock-in transgenic, cannot definitively determine whether the discrepancy between the percentage of DRG neurons that have undergone Cre recombination, compared with those that are TRPV1 immunoreactive, reflects a developmental loss of TRPV1, or whether it is due to lower sensitivity of TRPV1 antisera compared with genetic marking strategies. This is especially true as it has been argued that TRPV1 antibodies underestimate the number of TRPV1+ cells in the DRG (Breese et al., 2005; Hjerling-Leffler et al., 2007).

By using two independent transgenic lines combined with functional imaging, however, we established that the developmental reduction of TRPV1 in the DRG is, in fact, a result of selective loss of TRPV1 from specific primary afferent subsets. First, we observed that lacZ in adult TRPV1PLAP-lacZ mice showed a preferential distribution in the peptidergic population. Since reporter molecule expression in this line is driven by active transcription at the Trpv1 locus, lacZ is only observed in cells in which TRPV1 is expressed at the time of analysis. We have previously demonstrated the greater sensitivity of these mice compared with traditional histochemical techniques (Cavanaugh et al., 2011). Perhaps more convincing, calcium-imaging experiments in TRPV1Cre/R26R-EYFP mice clearly showed that many EYFP+ cells were not responsive to capsaicin. Furthermore, a large percentage of these EYFP+ cells that did not respond to capsaicin showed a nonpeptidergic phenotype. Based on these results, we conclude that a large population of DRG neurons that express TRPV1 transiently during development does not die. Rather, these neurons persist into adulthood and largely constitute the TRPV1-negative population of unmyelinated, nonpeptidergic nociceptors.

It is unclear what mediates the downregulation, but the timing of this developmental regulation coincides with the emergence of other characteristics of nonpeptidergic neurons, including the transition from TrkA to c-RET expression, and the onset of IB4 binding (Molliver et al., 1997; Chen et al., 2006; Hjerling-Leffler et al., 2007). As we have demonstrated here, the TRPV1+ population comprises a large percentage of the so-called peptidergic class of nociceptors. However, ~35% of TRPV1+ neurons do not express neuropeptides, and an appreciable population (~12–20%) of TRPV1+ neurons (whether determined by reporter molecule expression in knock-in mice, or by capsaicin responses in cultured DRG neurons) bind the lectin IB4. We and others have recently shown that pharmacological ablation of TRPV1+ neurons in the adult results in selective and complete deficits in behavioral responses to noxious heat (Cavanaugh et al., 2009, Mishra and Hoon, 2010). It therefore appears that, while it may be heuristically useful to classify nociceptors based on traditional markers of peptidergic and nonpeptidergic neurons, it is the presence or absence of TRPV1 that divides nociceptors into functionally relevant populations. This assertion is supported by the fact that chemical–genetic elimination of DRG neurons that ex-
press the MrgrpD receptor, which comprise the vast majority of TRPV1-/IB4+ neurons, results in selective noxious mechanical deficits (Cavanaugh et al., 2009). Importantly, TRPV1+ and TRPV1− afferents target distinct spinal cord laminae in adult mice. We have shown that this termination pattern is a result of the downregulation of TRPV1 in certain DRG neurons. The developmental restriction of TRPV1 could thus serve to provide an anatomical substrate for the segregated processing of different noxious modalities in the peripheral and CNS.

Finally, our results offer insight into the development of sensitized pain states caused by early environmental stressors, such as inflammation. Neonatal inflammation can lead to a permanent sensitization of the pain system and a persistent expansion of the termination zone of small-diameter nociceptors in the dorsal horn (Ruda et al., 2000). Our results suggest that this sensitized pain state may arise, in part, from a developmental failure to downregulate TRPV1 expression in the DRG. The pathological persistence of TRPV1 in nonpeptidergic neurons would increase the overall response to TRPV1 agonists and, perhaps more importantly, would expand the population of nociceptors activated by such stimuli, such that circuits normally engaged only by mechanical stimuli could also be activated by noxious heat.
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